

Group *F0*  
Class *406*  
Piece *23*

*22*

*Printed for the use of the Foreign Office. December 1905.*

CONFIDENTIAL

(S561.)

F.O.

406

N  
11

PART IV.

F.O. 406

23

## FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE

RESPECTING THE

# AFFAIRS OF ARABIA.

July to September 1905.

23



## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
1	Sir N. O'Connor ..	442	June 27, 1905	Koweit ships at Bassorah. Probable that complaint of Kowaitis respecting alleged discrimination shown by Turkish authorities against Kowait ships unfounded ..	1
2	" ..	446	27,	Proposed establishment by Germany of coaling station in Persian Islands. Refer to No. 253, Part VI, Persia and Arabia. Two Germans left on one of the islands by German war-ship ..	1
3	" ..	447	27,	Yemen. Transmits despatch from His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hoboka, reporting on military situation ..	2
4	" ..	449	28,	Yemen. Dispatch of arms and ammunition to ..	4
5	India Office ..	..	July 1,	British and French warships at Muscat. Transmits inclosure in Foreign Secretary's letter dated 24th May ..	4
6	To Treasury ..	..	6,	Muscat Arbitration. Question of gratuity to Messrs. Graham, Mounsey, and Cruise ..	6
7	India Office ..	..	5,	Aden. Consents in No. 84, Part III ..	6
8	To Mr. Mounsey ..	63	8,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits copies of Arguments ..	7
8 <sup>a</sup>	To India Office ..	..	10,	Aden. Katuba boundary. Inform of Sir N. O'Connor's views respecting ..	7 <sup>a</sup>
9	To Sir N. O'Connor ..	122 Tel.	11,	Aden. Refers to No. 79, Part III. Approves proposal to record settlement by exchange of notes ..	7
10	" ..	123	11,	Aden. Refers to No. 79, Part III. Consents in proposal that settlement should be officially recorded ..	7
11	To Sir F. Bertie ..	475	12,	Aden delimitation. Inquiries to be made in reference to French complaint that line drawn near Sheikh Said ..	7
12	Sir H. Howard ..	123	11,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits note (not printed) from M. de Raynaud, notifying Administrative Council of date of meeting of Tribunal ..	8
13	India Office ..	..	14,	Aden. Transmits inclosure in letter from Foreign Secretary, dated 8th June, relative to a hint in concluding a Treaty with Beda in Aden Protectorate ..	8
14	Sir N. O'Connor ..	459 Confidential	7,	Whispering against England among Turkish officials at Bassorah Vilayet. Transmits despatch from His Majesty's Consul. Attitude fostered by anti-British faction in Egypt ..	9
15	" ..	472 Confidential	10,	Anti-English agitation by Valis of Bagdad and Bassorah. Refers to No. 14. Transmits despatch from His Majesty's Consul at Bassorah reporting his warning to Mushkiki Pasha that he would be held responsible for any evil results ..	11

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

iii

No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
16	Sir N. O'Connor ..	478	July 11, 1905	Yemen insurrection. Refers to No. 2. Transmits despatch from His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah. Reports of revolt in Asir exaggerated. Rumour that Abha ruled by Ahmad Ibn Ali refers to Ith ..	12
17	Treasury ..	..	18,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 6. Sanctions employment and allowance of Mr. Graham and fee of Mr. Cruise ..	13
18	To Sir N. O'Connor ..	228	18,	Anti-English agitation by Valis of Bagdad and Bassorah. Refers to No. 18. Approves action ..	13
19	M. Pasha ..	..	17,	Arms traffic in Red Sea. Italian Ambassador at Paris instructed to join in representations to French Government ..	14
20	India Office ..	..	20,	Turkish post on Babylon Island. Refers to Nos. 53 and 67, Part III. Transmits copy of letter from Major Cox reporting conditions on which Sheikh Maharak would establish post ..	14
20 <sup>a</sup>	To Sir F. Bertie ..	31 Afton	24,	Arms traffic in Red Sea. Transmits No. 19, and instructs accordingly ..	16
20 <sup>b</sup>	Mr. Graham ..	1	24	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits text of Supplementary Conclusions presented by French Government, with observations ..	17
21	To Sir F. Bertie ..	496	25,	Aden delimitation. Refers to No. 11. Relative positions of Sheikh Said and boundary delimited by Commissioners ..	18
22	Mr. Graham ..	2	25,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 20a. First meeting of Tribunal ..	19
23	India Office ..	..	26,	Alleged erection of guard-house from mainland opposite Bahavia. Transmits Secret letter from Government of India dated 22nd June ..	21
24	Sir N. O'Connor ..	500	24,	Military operations in Nejd. Refers to No. 40, Part III. Movements of Turkish troops ..	22
25	" ..	502	25,	Aden delimitation. Discrepancies between maps, &c., signed by British and Turkish Commissioners. Transmits despatch from Government of India of 25th June, including letter from Captain Tandy ..	23
26	" ..	513	26,	Military operations in Nejd. Turkish troops advancing on Sam'a ..	24
27	" ..	514	26,	Yemen insurrection. Transmits Military Attaché's No. 33, reporting progress made in sending reinforcements ..	25
28	Mr. Graham ..	4	26,	Muscat Arbitration. Twelve copies of His Majesty's Government's reply to Supplementary Conclusions of French Government handed to Secretary-General ..	26
29	Sir N. O'Connor ..	108 Tel.	31,	Grand Sherref of Mecca. Choice route between him as nephew of late Sherref and Hussein and Sidyk ..	26
30	To Mr. Graham ..	1	31,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to Nos. 23a and 22. Approves proceedings ..	26
31	Mr. Graham ..	6	Aug. 1,	Muscat Arbitration. Second sitting of Tribunal ..	26
32	India Office ..	..	2,	Sari dhora. Transmits inclosure in Foreign Secretary's letter, dated 12th July. French Vice-Consul collected all old French flags and given out new ones ..	31



No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
33	Mr. Graham	6 (Confidential)	Aug. 2, 1905	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 31. No elucidation of last statement made by French Agent necessary. Court adjourned till final sittings .. .. .	32
34	India Office	..	2,	Piracy in Persian Gulf. Transmits telegram from Viceroy of 21st July. Firste Ahmed-bis-Selman again showed .. .. .	32
35	"	..	9,	Flagstaffs on Maklab Isithmus, &c. Refers to No. 55, Part III. Transmits telegram from Viceroy and proposed reply. Removal of flagstaffs on Sheep Island should be deferred .. .. .	34
33*	"	..	2,	Aden. Refers to No. 5*, Suggests notification to Porte respecting maintenance of pillars .. .. .	35*
36	Memorandum by Mr. Farnham	..	3,	Aden delimitation. Comments on Captain Tandy's letter of 17th June, 1905 .. .. .	38
37	Sir A. Hardinge	129 (Confidential)	June 21,	Koweit Arabs in Persia. Conversation with Mushir-ed-Dusleh. Porte regards all Koweitis as Ottoman subjects .. .. .	34
38	India Office	..	Aug. 5,	Arms traffic in Red Sea. Transmits telegram from Viceroy, dated 2nd August. Is there any objection to Resident at Aden visiting Harbar and Jibuti before making proposals for checking? .. .. .	35
39	Mr. Graham	7	3,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 33. Second and third sittings .. .. .	36
40	Sir N. O'Connor	321	July 31,	Disturbances near Taurij. Transmits despatch from His Majesty's Consul-General at Bagdad. Incident closed .. .. .	36
41	"	323	Aug. 1,	Yemen insurrection. Refers to No. 26. Marshal Feizi Pasha, commanding troops in Yemen, appointed Acting Vali of province .. .. .	36
42	Mr. Graham	8	4,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 39. Award to be delivered Tuesday .. .. .	37
43	Admiralty	(Confidential)	5,	Rumoured intention of Germans to re-establish coaling-station on Futun Island. "Pereira" reports no news of .. .. .	37
44	Mr. Graham	9	8,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits copy of Award .. .. .	37
45	To India Office	..	9,	Turkish post on Babyan Island. Refers to No. 20. Sir N. O'Connor not in favour of Sheikh of Koweit establishing post for some time to come .. .. .	42
46	"	..	9,	Aden delimitation. Discrepancies in maps. Transmits No. 25. No necessity for changes .. .. .	43
45*	Memorandum by Mr. Farnham	..	8,	Aden. Maintenance of pillars, &c. Refers to No. 35* .. .. .	43a
47	India Office	..	11,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits telegrams to Viceroy of 9th and 10th August. (1) informs of terms of Award; (2) requests observations on .. .. .	43
48	Memorandum communicated to Muscat Pasha	..	12,	Alleged erection of guard-house opposite Bahrein. No such building erected .. .. .	44
49	To Sir N. O'Connor	257	12,	Piracy in Persian Gulf. Transmits No. 34. Requests representations may be made to Porte .. .. .	44

No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
40	To Sir A. Hardinge	112	Aug. 12, 1905	Koweit Arabs in Persia. Refers to No. 37. Approves language .. .. .	45
41	To India Office	..	12,	Flagstaffs on Maklab Isithmus. Refers to No. 25. Concurs in terms of telegram .. .. .	45
52	Sir N. O'Connor	344	7,	Death of Grand Sherref of Jeddah. Refers to No. 29. Transmits two despatches from His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah reporting on .. .. .	45
53	"	347	8,	Yemen insurrection. Refers to No. 50. Transmits two despatches from His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hodeida reporting arrival of troops for service in Yemen .. .. .	46
54	To Treasury	..	14,	Muscat Arbitration. Question of remuneration of those concerned .. .. .	49
55	To India Office	..	14,	Arms traffic in Red Sea. Refers to Nos. 30 and 31, Part III, and <i>ante</i> , Nos. 19 and 20a. Course proposed by Resident most desirable .. .. .	50
55*	To Sir N. O'Connor	269	15,	Aden. Maintenance of pillars. Transmits Nos. 3*, 32*, and 46* for his views .. .. .	50*
56	India Office	..	15,	Report that Sheikh of Bahrein had declared himself Turkish subject. Transmits enclosure in Foreign Secretary's letter, dated 15th July .. .. .	50
57	"	..	16,	Aden delimitation. Transmits enclosure in Foreign Secretary's letter, dated 15th July. Question as to whether Jubah is within British sphere .. .. .	52
58	To Attorney-General	..	16,	Muscat Arbitration. Thanks for services .. .. .	56
59	To Mr. W. F. Criles	..	16,	Muscat Arbitration. Thanks for services .. .. .	56
60	India Office	..	18,	Aden. Refers to No. 44. Concurs in opinion that no sufficient necessity for altering descriptions signed by Commissioners .. .. .	57
60*	"	..	19,	Babyan Island. Opportunity of approaching Porte left to discretion of Sir N. O'Connor. Telegram to Viceroy respecting .. .. .	57*
61	To Mr. Graham	2	21,	Muscat Arbitration. Refers to No. 44. Appreciation of services .. .. .	57
62	"	3	21,	Muscat Arbitration. Approves action reported in No. 42 .. .. .	57
63	To Professor Lam-mach	..	22,	Muscat Arbitration. Thanks for acting as Arbitrator .. .. .	58
64	To Mr. Lister	572	22,	Muscat Arbitration. Statement of French Minister. <i>Status quo</i> to be maintained till after arrival of M. Laroche .. .. .	58
65	Sir N. O'Connor	563	19,	Trouble in Assir. Refers to No. 16. Much diminished. Needless to send more soldiers .. .. .	59
66	To India Office	..	23,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits No. 64. <i>Status quo</i> to be maintained pending return of M. Laroche .. .. .	59
67	Sir N. O'Connor	574	22,	Yemen insurrection. Refers to No. 53. Transmits Hodeida, No. 34, reporting further progress of Turkish expeditionary force .. .. .	59



No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
68	India Office ..	..	Aug. 28, 1905	Special Koweit flag. Question fully discussed with Sheikh Mubarek ..	61
69	" ..	..	29,	Proposed visit of Resident to Jibuti and Berbera. Transmits telegram to Viceroy of 17th August. Visit approved ..	61
70	" ..	..	29,	Memorandum flagstaffs. Transmits telegram to Viceroy of 18th August. Further consideration of question respecting Telegraph Island required ..	62
71	Sir N. O'Connor ..	118 Tel.	31,	Yemen insurrection. Entry of Turkish troops into Sanaa without opposition ..	62
72	Admiralty ..	Confidential	30,	Reported German coaling-station on Persian Island. Transmits report from His Majesty's ship "Persoon." No sign of Germans ..	62
73	India Office ..	..	31,	Anti-British agitation at Basorah. Transmits papers from Government of India ..	64
74	" ..	..	Sept. 5,	Proposed coal depot at Koweit. Transmits telegram from Viceroy of 30th August. Issue issued continuing to British Companies coaling privileges at Basorah ..	64
75	" ..	..	5,	Muscat Arbitration Award. Concurs with Lord Lansdowne in accepting proposal of French Government to maintain the status quo until the return of M. Laroche ..	64
76	Sir N. O'Connor ..	609	5,	New Grand Sherah of Maer. Refers to No. 52. Transmits Jeddah, No. 85, commenting on delay in appointment of ..	69
77	Mr V. Bertie ..	57 Africa	15,	Arms traffic at Jibuti. Transmits copy of note from M. Kauter respecting. Governor of Jibuti has reported no case of smuggling ..	69
78	Memorandum communicated to M. Geoffroy ..	..	15,	Muscat Arbitration Award. His Majesty's Government agree to maintenance of status quo pending arrival of M. Laroche. Final clause of the Award should come into immediate operation ..	70
79	India Office ..	..	19,	Aden boundary. Transmits telegram to Viceroy of 5th September. His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople concurs in view that Dahala Amir should keep up boundary pillars along Kanda frontier ..	71
80	" ..	..	19,	Muscat Arbitration. Transmits telegram to Viceroy of 5th September. French Government request maintenance of status quo pending return of M. Laroche ..	71
81	Sir N. O'Connor ..	154 Tel.	22,	Red Sea piracy. Reports telegram dated 23rd September sent to His Majesty's Consul at Hodeida. Pirate boats must be destroyed by His Majesty's ship "Fox" ..	72
82	" ..	..	26,	Yemen insurrection. Refers to No. 71. Transmits Hodeida, No. 105, reporting on Turkish advance and reconquest of Sanaa by Imperial troops ..	72
83	" ..	..	26,	Bahian Island. Turkish military post on. Has pointed out to Minister for Foreign Affairs that no effect has been given to representations regarding encroachment on rights of Sheikh of Koweit ..	73
84	To India Office ..	..	25,	Difficulties in Oman. M. Laroche instructed to cultivate friendly relations with British colleagues ..	74

No.	Name.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
85	India Office ..	..	Sept. 25, 1905	French Vice-Consul at Muscat. Transmits letter from His Majesty's Agent at Muscat to the Viceroy, dated 17th July, regarding recent visit of Vice-Consul to SOI ..	74
86	" ..	..	25,	Visit to Sir of French Vice-Consul at Muscat. Transmits copy of semi-official letter from Government of India to Major Grey, warning him not to interfere between French Consul and Sultan ..	75
87	Sir N. O'Connor ..	134 Tel.	25,	Red Sea piracy. Demands for destruction of pirate boats and for compensation have been settled satisfactorily ..	76
88	To Sir N. O'Connor ..	339	22,	Red Sea piracy. Conversation with Turkish Ambassador. Protested against action of His Majesty's ship "Fox" at Hodeida ..	76



CONFIDENTIAL.

## Further Correspondence respecting the Affairs of Arabia.

## PART IV.

## No. 1.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 3.)*

(No. 442.)

My Lord,

*Therapia, June 27, 1905.*

WITH reference to your Lordship's despatch No. 131 of the 25th April last, I have the honour to report that I instructed His Majesty's Consul at Bassorah to inquire into the case of the alleged discrimination shown by the Turkish sanitary authorities at that town against Koweit ships, which had formed the subject of correspondence between the Government of India and the Political Resident in the Persian Gulf.

Mr. Crow reports that this matter was referred to Mr. Monahan by the Resident at Bushire on the 15th March last, and that Mr. Monahan, after making inquiries, replied that the sanitary authorities absolutely denied that any quarantine measures had been enforced against Koweit boats since the quarantine was officially removed on the 20th January, 1905. He also suggested to Major Cox that the nakholes would do well to specify the cases of which they complained.

Mr. Crow states that he has no reason to doubt the truth of the assurances given by the Bassorah quarantine authorities, and is of opinion that the complaints of the Koweitis are unfounded.

I may mention that I referred the correspondence inclosed in your Lordship's above-mentioned despatch to Dr. Glenow, who has replied that the complaint of differential treatment has not been very clearly substantiated.

I have, &amp;c.

(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR.

## No. 2.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 3.)*

(No. 445.)

My Lord,

*Therapia, June 27, 1905.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 334 of the 6th September, 1901, relative to the wish of Germany to obtain the permission of the Porte to establish a coaling-station in the Farsan Islands, in the Red Sea, I have the honour to report that the Italian Chargé d'Affaires told me a few days ago that he had heard from his Government that a short time ago a German ship had anchored off one of the islands and landed two men, who had remained after the ship's departure. In reply to M. de Martino's inquiry whether I knew of any recent negotiations between the Ottoman and German Governments connected with these islands, I told him what I knew of Germany's wish to obtain a coaling-station, and of the refusal of the Sublime Porte to accede to it on the ground that compliance would open the way to a similar request from other Powers; I had, however, not heard that the German Embassy has recurred to the subject, but if I succeeded in getting any information of such a step, I would communicate it to him.



I am instructing His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah to inquire about the visit of the German vessel mentioned to me by M. de Martino, and to report anything he may learn, and I should be grateful for any information which the Admiralty may possess on the subject, and which would assist my confidential inquiries here.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONOR.

### No. 3.

*Sir N. O'Conor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 3.)*

(No. 447.)

My Lord,

*Therapia, June 27, 1905.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 392 of the 6th instant, I have the honour to transmit herewith to your Lordship a copy of a despatch from His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hodeida, reporting on the military situation in the Yemen.

Dr. Richardson reports that the military authorities have landed 5,345 troops of various kinds (viz., regulars, Redifs, and recruits) at Hodeida between the 30th May and the 12th June, thus bringing their actual strength in the field up to 40,000 men.

However, there is a continual wastage from sickness, sunstroke cases being very deadly.

The Commander-in-chief does not propose a forward movement until he has collected 3,000 camels and 3,000 mules for transport purposes, and it is not likely, owing to the present dearth of camels at Hodeida, that this concentration will take place very speedily.

Dr. Richardson also reports that the Imam of Sana'a has communicated with His Imperial Majesty by telegraph, with a view to a cessation of hostilities and that a Turkish officer has also proceeded to Sana'a on the matter.

The Turkish Reform Commission referred to in my despatch No. 358 of the 18th April is engaged in its work, and has dismissed Ibrahim Bey, the late Mutessarif of Hodeida.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONOR.

### Inclosure in No. 3.

*Vice-Consul Richardson to Consul Decey.*

(No. 81.)

Sir,

*Hodeida, June 12, 1905.*

DURING the past month the dispatch of reinforcements to this province has been carried out very steadily, so much so that it has been possible to disembark 14,000 troops at Hodeida, together with a large number of transport animals and a considerable quantity of provisions and military stores.

Over 5,000 other troops have followed in the course of the current month, which would bring the total number of reinforcements landed since January up to 42,750.

After making a liberal allowance for casualties during the operations against the insurgents, and also deaths during the siege of Sana'a and desertions during and subsequent to the surrender of that town, I would estimate the present strength of the Turkish army in this vilayet at 40,000 roughly.

The Yemen force has suffered a loss of quite 20,000 men from all causes since the beginning of this year, or, more correctly, since the outbreak of the present rebellion.

Of two divisions of Syrian Redifs brought to this district, hardly two brigades now remain, in which every battalion is far below its original strength. I believe that it is intended to send these troops back to their country very shortly.

Since April the mortality at Menakha, where a big garrison is maintained, has ranged between twenty and thirty daily.

The Albanian and Anatolian soldiers at Hujjila, Baha, and Bajal, en route to Menakha, have been equally unfortunate, and account for more than thirty deaths daily.

Cases of sunstroke occur to an alarming extent during the movements of troops, so much so that fourteen deaths in a single day from this cause were recorded among three

battalions that arrived recently from Provesa, within a distance of four hours' march from Hodeida.

With reference to armament, the Turks possess eighteen mountain guns and twelve field guns at Hodeida that were recently landed, and four field guns at Menakha, exclusive of about six others stationed in the forts there. There are also two mountain batteries of six guns each at Taiz.

Although large quantities of provisions have arrived from Constantinople and other places, the big demands of the present force have nearly exhausted the stock of flour.

The question of transport between Hodeida and Menakha is one that has taxed the energies of the military authorities considerably for some time past, with no appreciable results. Owners of camels will not bring their animals to Hodeida, owing to the low rates offered as hire by the military, and the rough use to which they are subjected.

Native produce is thus prevented from being imported from the interior and foreign imports from being sent thither. Hides and skins and coffee in small quantities are in consequence taken to other ports along the littoral, and thence dispatched to Hodeida by means of sambocks. Dhurra, which is badly needed by the native population owing to the famine still prevalent throughout the plains, finds its way from here by sea to places near by along the coast, where it is met by camels that transport same to its destination.

The Commander-in-chief, I am given to understand, does not intend to make a forward movement before 3,000 camels and a similar number of mules are available for transport service with the troops.

Great difficulty is being experienced in collecting the camels necessary for the projected advance: the mules will be imported from Anatolia.

On the 8th instant Mahmud Nedim Bey, the Adjoint of the Vali, left for Sana'a, it is believed to interview the Imam, and, if possible, arrange an amicable settlement.

There has been an exchange of telegrams between the Imam and the Sultan for some time past, with the result that Sheikh Mahomed Dalal, up to recently exiled to Rhodes but since permitted to return, of whom mention was made in my last report, and Mahmud Bey have been ordered by an Imperial Irade to proceed to Sana'a, where the Imam has now arrived.

The hope is entertained generally that some understanding will soon be arrived at, dispensing with the necessity for further military operations.

Marshal Ahmed Fezi Pasha, who arrived here on the 5th instant to command the operations against the insurgents, is over 75 years of age, and it is not expected locally that much can be effected by him.

Rumours have been current for the past three weeks or more that the Turks had abandoned Kataha, owing to the hostility displayed by its inhabitants and the Sheikhs in the neighbourhood.

I now learn privately from a friend that there is no foundation in the above, although most positions and villages in the Kataha Oaza have gone over to the Imam, with the exception of the town itself and one or two villages in its vicinity. The Katmakam of the above place is now at Aden, the reason for his presence there not being understood.

The Turkish Commission for Reforms in the Yemen has been engaged in its work, and has been directing its attention at Hodeida chiefly to the investigation of complaints by Arab Sheikhs and others against the officials in this Mutessariflik.

Ibrahim Bey, the late Mutessarif of this district was dismissed about a fortnight ago on the recommendation of Shukir Pasha, the President of the above Commission.

Rahimi Effendi, Secretary to the above body and a member of the same, who was formerly Chief of Correspondence at Hodeida and Katmakam of Kataha about three years ago, has taken over the duties of Governor of Hodeida temporarily.

Mahomed Rashid Pasha, the civil member of the Commission, was Mutessarif of Taiz from 1891-94.

The Commission will soon move to Menakha.

A court-martial under the presidency of Ferik Arif Hikmet Pasha has been sitting during the past week for the trial of officers and men in connection with recent surrenders of garrisoned towns.

It is stated that the Vali, Tewfik Bey, has tendered his resignation, and that either Marshal Ahmed Fezi Pasha or Shukir Pasha, recently promoted to the rank of Musheer, will succeed him.



There has been a good rainfall throughout the province, but famine still prevails in a severe form.

The new dhurra crop in the Teharra, that would have been ready for reaping in a month, has been totally destroyed by locusts.

Ship loads of grain and food-stuffs are pouring into Hodeida every week, the demand for which is daily increasing.

The want of camels to convey such into the interior is indeed great, owing to the Government seizing every animal available for military requirements.

With the exception of the import of dhurra, trade has been at a standstill for the past eight months, and perhaps never in a worse condition known in the annals of the vilayet.

The conditions of life at Hodeida are very bad, where the whole town is infected with Turkish soldiers, that hamper the operations of its bazaars, seize all the drinking water from the inhabitants, and are, in short, a general nuisance.

The authorities are helpless, and could not prevent the Albanian troops from landing recently and selling in the town about 5,000 kilog. of tobacco and a large quantity of spirits.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) G. A. RICHARDSON.

#### No. 4.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 3.)*

(No. 449.)

My Lord,

*Constantinople, June 28, 1905.*

I HAVE the honour to forward to your Lordship herewith, copy of a despatch from Lieutenant-Colonel Maunsell, Military Attaché at this Embassy, reporting on the dispatch of Mauser rifles and artillery material to the Yemen.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR.

#### Inclosure in No. 4.

*Lieutenant-Colonel Maunsell to Sir N. O'Connor.*

(No. 40.)

Sir,

*Thessalonica, June 26, 1905.*

I HAVE the honour to report that the Mahaness steamer, "Imir," left Constantinople two days ago with 10,000 Mauser rifles (large calibre), and the material of two batteries of field artillery for the Yemen.

The artillery hope to find the necessary horses and personnel at Hodeida on arrival. These Mausers, with the 30,000 forwarded in the early part of the year, make a total of 40,000 sent to the Yemen.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) F. R. MAUNSELL,  
*Lieutenant-Colonel.*

#### No. 5.

*India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received July 3.)*

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of inclosures in a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 25th May, relative to British and French war-ships at Muscat.

Copies have been sent to the Admiralty.

*India Office, July 1, 1905.*

Inclosure 1 in No. 5.

*Major Cox to Government of India.*

(Telegraphic.) P.

*Bushire, May 6, 1905.*

THE Political Agent advises the presence of a British man-of-war at Muscat, as French flag-ship will arrive there in a day or two. I concur. Perhaps the Naval Commander-in-chief can send His Majesty's ship "Sphinx," or some other ship from Bombay. No ship in the Gulf is at present available.

Repeated to Political Agent at Muscat, and to the Naval Commander-in-chief, East Indies, Bombay.

Inclosure 2 in No. 5.

*Rear-Admiral Wiles to Government of India.*

(Telegraphic.) P.

*Colombo, May 9, 1905.*

PLEASE refer to the telegram from the Political Resident, Bushire. His Majesty's ship "Sphinx" will not be ready for sea until the 28th May. The "Redbreast" came to Bombay with an outbreak of beri-beri, and is undergoing disinfection. The "Fox" and the "Proserpine" are escorting destroyers to China, and the "Persens," which is at Aden, is not available.

I consider it advisable that no British ship-of-war should meet the French flag-ship, as the Commander must be junior, and therefore take an inferior place to the senior French naval officer.

Inclosure 3 in No. 5.

*Government of India to Major Cox.*

(Telegraphic.) P.

*Singha, May 11, 1905.*

PLEASE refer to your telegram of the 6th May.

The following telegram, dated Colombo, the 9th May, has been received from the Admiral:—

"His Majesty's ship 'Sphinx' . . . . . French Naval Officer."  
Addressed to Major Cox, and repeated to Major Grey.

Inclosure 4 in No. 5.

*Major Cox to Government of India.*

(Telegraphic.) P.

*Bushire, May 13, 1905.*

PLEASE refer to your telegram of the 11th May, 1905, regarding man-of-war at Muscat.

Before receipt of a "Lapwing" had arrived at Bushire, and I had asked her to go on to Muscat if she had not other orders. She went accordingly.

The question of relative seniority with French officers has not presented difficulty in the past, and does not seem important now. The object of our sending ship-of-war is simply to stiffen Sultan with a sign of our vigilance and support. If, however, Admiral still thinks it undesirable for "Lapwing" to remain at Muscat, I request orders may be sent out to meet her at Muscat to return here or to Bahrain.

Repeated to his Excellency Rear-Admiral Atkinson Wiles, Colombo, and to Major Grey.



Inclosure 5 in No. 5.

Major Grey to Government of India.

(Telegraphic.) P.

Muscat, May 19, 1905.

ON the evening of the 16th May the French flag-ship left for Suez with the French Consul.

His Majesty's ship "Lapwing" is awaiting the orders of the Admiralty here.

No. 6.

Foreign Office to Treasury.

Sir,

Foreign Office, July 6, 1905.

WITH reference to your letter of the 1st March, and to previous correspondence regarding the Muscat Arbitration, I am directed by the Marquess of Lansdowne to state, for the information of the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury, that it is necessary to appoint an Agent to attend the Tribunal which will meet at The Hague on the 25th instant, for the purpose of serving as an intermediary between the Court and His Majesty's Government.

Mr. Ronald Graham, a First Secretary in His Majesty's Diplomatic Service at present employed in this Office, has been selected for this appointment. Lord Lansdowne would propose, for their Lordships' consideration, that Mr. Graham should be granted a subsistence allowance of 30s. a-day during the term of his employment at The Hague, and that he should also receive his actual expenses of travelling and locomotion, together with the cost of a room for the transaction of official business.

Mr. G. A. Mounsey, a Third Secretary in His Majesty's Diplomatic Service, has been appointed Secretary to assist the Agent. As Mr. Mounsey is at present employed in His Majesty's Legation at The Hague no special allowances for accommodation or maintenance will, in his case, be necessary.

Lord Lansdowne desires to reserve, for future consideration, the question of granting gratuities to Mr. Graham and Mr. Mounsey in respect of their special services on this occasion.

It is desirable that Mr. W. F. Craies, who, as their Lordships are aware, has been retained for the preparation of the British Case, Counter-Case and Argument, should accompany the Agent to The Hague to act as Counsel. Lord Lansdowne would be obliged if the Earl of Desart would undertake, as in previous cases, to come to an arrangement with Mr. Craies as to the terms of his service. It has been suggested that Mr. Craies should receive a fee of 100 guineas, together with a subsistence allowance of 30s. a-day while absent from England and his actual expenses of travelling and locomotion.

I am to express the hope that the Lords Commissioners will take these proposals into favourable consideration and sanction the expenditure which they entail.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) T. H. SANDEISON.

No. 7.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received July 7.)

Sir,

India Office, July 5, 1905.

I AM directed by Mr. Secretary Brodrick to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 23rd June, forwarding Sir N. O'Connor's proposals for placing officially on record with the Sublime Porte the settlement arrived at as to the frontier of the Aden Protectorate.

Mr. Brodrick concurs in Lord Lansdowne's proposal to approve the arrangement for an exchange of notes in the manner described by Sir N. O'Connor. He is also of opinion that, with regard to the frontier north-east from the Bana, it will be sufficient to insert in the British note a paragraph to the effect that the actual demarcation is postponed to a more favourable moment.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) A. GODLEY.



No. 8.

*Foreign Office to India Office.*

Sir, *Foreign Office, July 10, 1905.*  
 WITH reference to your letter of the 8th June, transmitting copies of correspondence relative to certain proposals for the construction of permanent and more easily distinguishable marks of the Aden boundary line near Kutaba, I am directed by the Marquess of Lansdowne to forward herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State for India, copy of a despatch from His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople, containing his observations on the proposals in question.

Sir Nicholas O'Connor considers that it would be difficult to reopen with the Porte the question of the more effective demarcation of the frontier, and he prefers the course suggested in the letter from the Government of India of the 30th April, viz., that the Amir of Dhala should raise the height of those pillars along his frontier which require it, and at the same time clear away the brushwood round them and whitewash them.

Mr. Fitzmaurice, however, who, in accordance with his Excellency's suggestion, has been consulted by this Department, fears that any interference on the part of the Amir with the pillars will immediately result in his being accused by the Turks of tampering with the boundary.

Mr. Fitzmaurice also states that the distances between the pillars, as given in the letter from the Resident at Aden, dated the 18th January last, are incorrect, and that the pillars are much nearer to each other than is described. He has explained his views in a letter to the Government of India, which had not been received at the time when their letter of the 30th April last was written.

I am, &c.  
 (Signed) T. H. SANDERSON.

No. 8.

*The Marquess of Lansdowne to Mr. Mounsey.*

(No. 63.)

Sir,

*Foreign Office, July 8, 1905.*

I TRANSMIT to you herewith ten copies of the Argument on behalf of His Majesty's Government in the pending arbitration with the Government of the French Republic in regard to the grant of the French flag to Muscat dhows.\*

One of these copies should be retained for your information, and the remainder communicated on the 10th instant to the Permanent Bureau. Of these nine copies, five are intended for the use of the French Government, one for the archives of the Bureau, and the remaining three for transmission respectively to each of the two Arbitrators and to the Umpire.

I am, &c.  
 (Signed) LANSDOWNE.

No. 9.

*The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor.*

(No. 122.)

(Telegraphic.) P.

*Foreign Office, July 11, 1905.*

YOUR proposal to record the settlement of the Aden frontier by an exchange of notes is approved by His Majesty's Government, and the paragraph as to the undelimited part of the boundary north-eastwards, which you suggest in your despatch No. 396 A of the 10th ultimo.

No. 10.

*The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor.*

(No. 225.)

Sir,

*Foreign Office, July 11, 1905.*

I HAVE considered, in communication with the Secretary of State for India, your Excellency's despatch No. 396 A of the 10th ultimo, regarding the settlement of the Aden Protectorate frontier.

I concur in your proposal that the settlement should be placed officially on record by an exchange of notes with the Sublime Porte, to which would be attached copies of the maps, showing the frontier as traced by the Joint Commission, and of the *procès-verbal* signed by Mr. Fitzmaurice and the Ottoman Commissioner at Sheikh Said.

As regards the frontier north-east from the River Bana, it will be sufficient to insert in the British note a paragraph to the effect that the actual demarcation is postponed to a more favourable moment.

I am, &c.  
 (Signed) LANSDOWNE.

No. 11.

*The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir F. Bertie.*

(No. 475.)

Sir,

*Foreign Office, July 12, 1905.*

THE French Ambassador told me to-day that the French Government had been told that the Commission which had been delimiting the frontier of the Aden tribes had drawn the line quite close to Sheikh Said, and had arranged for the construction of a fort commanding the village of that name. His Excellency reminded me that it had

\* Already printed.



that the line should keep clear of Sheikh Sa'ad. I said that his line was correct, although we had not admitted that the French line was correct. I would inquire whether the line had really been drawn in accordance with the proximity to Sheikh Sa'ad.

I am, &c.  
Signed) LANDOWNE.

12

Sir H. Howard to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 13.)

The Hague, July 11, 1905.  
With reference to my despatch No. 122 of the 10th instant, I have the honour to transmit herewith copy of a note which I have received from M. de Ruysseaux, representing the Administrative Council of the Permanent Court of Arbitration of the Hague, in the matter of the M. de Ruysseaux Arbitration, and of the appointment of the British and French Agents, Counsel and Secretaries.

I have, &c.  
Signed) HENRY HOWARD.

No. 13

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received July 15.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of an enclosure in a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 5th June, relative to a hitch in concluding a Treaty with Beda in the Aden Province.

India Office, July 14, 1905.

Inclosure in No. 13

Majar General Munson to Government of India

(Confidential)

Aden, May 1, 1905.

IN continuation of paragraph 2 of my letter dated the 7th instant, and with reference to the telegram from the Government of India of the 15th ultimo, to the address of the Government of Bombay, I have the honour to submit the following remarks in regard to the hitch in concluding a Treaty with Beda, which was last referred to in my telegram dated the 12th May, 1904.

2. In my telegram of the 12th May, 1904, it was reported that the Chief's cousin, who was the real Administrator and the *de facto* Ruler of the State, was apparently under some hostile influence, and would not come forward to make the *de facto* Treaty, and up to the present there has been practically no further progress made in coming that influence, or other motive, whatever it may be, which keeps the Chief away from Aden.

From available information, it appears that the titular Sultan, Ali-bin-Husein, is *non compos mentis* and an actual nonentity in the State, and there is little cause to doubt that any Treaty concluded with him would be valueless and only lead to friction and disputes amongst the tribesmen of the State.

3. The Sheikh and heads of the Beda tribal sections undoubtedly look to and support the titular Sultan's cousin, Ali-bin-Ahmed-al-Rasani, as the real *de facto* Chief of Beda, and it is his presence in Aden which is therefore required for the conclusion of any really satisfactory Treaty with the State.

\* Not printed.

3. Hitherto he has rejected all overtures to enter Aden, and it is not possible to precisely state the cause. The negotiations of 1904 were mainly conducted through the medium of a certain well-to-do merchant, named Hajee Abdulla Am Uraigee, who owns property in Beda and in Aden, and it would appear that it was mainly due to certain suspicions entertained by Mr G. W. Bury of the *bona fides* of this merchant and his relations that those negotiations somewhat promptly terminated, and have up to quite recently never been effectively renewed.

4. As to the suspicions entertained, there is practically nothing definite on the records of this Office; but, from inquiries made, I conjecture that they were probably in some way connected with the merchant's endeavour to arrange some stipulation in favour of himself and certain other merchants concerned for a reduction of the taxes and dues levied upon their goods in the Beda State.

5. As to whether the interruption of negotiations was in any way connected with the interests and general policy of the Abdali Sultan, it is difficult to make any more definite conjectures, although it may safely be assumed that the Abdali Sultan is averse to any measure which brings any other State into closer relations with the British Government, and renders his own less of a "focus" of hinterland affairs and politics.

6. Apart, however, from this cause of interruption, I have reason to believe that another cause has militated against the conclusion of the desired Treaty, which is in effect discussion amongst the Sheikhs and leaders of the tribal sections of the State itself as to the participation and distribution of the stipends and other monetary gains which are expected to eventuate from the Treaty.

7. Beyond this, my information is to the effect that Ali-bin-Ahmed-al-Rasani is a man of some age and not of a nature disposed to accept more burden and responsibility, either now or in the future, that he can conveniently avoid. I am informed that, upon the death of the present titular Sultan, it will probably be Ali-bin-Ahmed's son, and not Ali-bin-Ahmed himself, who will be elected to succeed to the Sultanate.

8. It is quite possible, from a desire to avoid a source of friction with the Sultan and a possibly considerable burden and responsibility, that the merchant has hitherto preferred the comparative ease of his present position on the fertile plateau of Beda to risking travel and adventure in the direction of Aden, and a probable interruption to the harmony of his existence.

9. At the present moment I am engaged in a resumption of negotiations through the medium of the merchant, with a view to, if possible, overcoming Ali-bin-Ahmed's reluctance to visit Aden, and otherwise, if possible, arranging for his son and some of the leading Sheikhs to come in his place armed with the Sultan's seal and letters authorizing them to sign a Treaty on his behalf, on similar lines to those last adopted in the case of the Treaty concluded with the Upper Aulaki tribe.

10. I trust that these negotiations may, in due course, be attended with success, or at least serve as a useful guide towards the adoption of some alternative line of action. The present disturbed condition of affairs in Yemen may of course, prove a source of obstruction or delay. But I hope that, in the course of the next few months, it may prove possible to conclude this Treaty, and thus remove what Mr Fitzmaurice refers to as the one serious gap in the north-east line.

No. 14

Sir H. Howard to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 15.)

(N) My Lord.

Therapia, July 7, 1905.

With reference to my despatch No. 384 of the 3rd ultimo respecting the warning addressed by the Grand Vizier, in consequence of my representations, to the Valis of Bagdad and Bussorah enjoining them not to give credence and circulation to unauthenticated rumours inimical to England, I have the honour to transmit herewith copy of a Confidential despatch which I have received from His Majesty's Consul at Bussorah on the subject of the ill-feeling against England and the suspicion of her intentions which prevail among the Turkish officials in that vilayet.

Mr Crow is of opinion that this attitude is deliberately fostered by an anti-British faction in Egypt, which has its head-quarters at Cairo, and disseminates mendacious

[1569]

D



I am transmitting copy of Mr Crow's despatch to His Majesty's Charge d'Affaires at Cairo.

Inclosure in No. 14.

Consul Crow to Sir N. O'Connor

(No. 84 - Confidential.)

915

Burlington, June 10, 1917

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Excellency's despatch of the 10th May last, concerning the anti-British feeling of the present Vah. He is, strictly speaking, the only Ottoman official of Turkish origin in the place. The prominent members of the administration, civil and military, are all of Arab birth. It is noteworthy that two Vahs in succession, Mustapha Nuri and Makhlis Pa, should have both been nurtured by the same host of sentiments towards us. Arabs are with us. Proximity to India and the many advantageous frequent commercial intercourse with Karachi and Bombay, cheap education and the possibilities of finding employment in India for their sons, all tend to the development of a friendly spirit among the Arab population.

From inquiries I have made here it appears to me likely that Turkish ill-feelings and suspicions are deliberately fostered and encouraged by some external ally hostile to ourselves. I am informed that the anti-British bias in the press is disseminating through the Arab press meretricious accounts of

and North-Eastern Africa.

"Alem, Alem" and the "Lava" by

and I hope to see you at the M. Y. one.

It has not yet been

represented, they must do us incalculable mischief. I have given directions and through the British Post Office here to be included in the Turkish Intelligence system to collect all such information at Constantinople and to distribute it through for the benefit of provincial Turkey. I am told there are special agencies employed for this purpose.

and Constantinople, and that Cairo is the headquarters of the anti-Semitic

tooth-ferrog has grown more acute recently in the Persian Gulf and Mesopotamia has increased. There

d going of British officials. The Viceroy of India,

It was a grand and attended by a numerous retinue of the pomp and magnificence of his high office. The Viceroy's party visited Lucknow, and were visible to the garrison of the Turkish fort at that place. The secret agents reported the ovation prepared for them at Kowest and a certain obscurity regarding the object of their visit lent colour to exaggerated accounts of it, which lent not a little to the telling. Vessels like the "Benowa" and the "Amplazate," remarkable for their heavy tonnage and formidable appearance, have perambulated the waters of the Gulf and approached Turkish shores. His Majesty's ships take soundings uninvited in territorial waters and land parties for purposes of observation in remote corners, the "Investigator," of the Royal Indian Marine, lately surveyed the coast.

pleased. The Admiral of the East India Station has  
Persian Gulf, and his appearance in force at its head  
Turkish eyes, for the accounts of a naval demonstration. The Foreign Office  
last year dispatched a party of officials to travel through the land in order to obtain  
information for a "Persian Gulf Gazetteer," and this apparently without intimating  
their intention to the Turkish authorities, and I now hear they contemplate sending  
another official to Bassorah, or Zobeir, or Suk-eh-Shayukh to complete their inquiries  
regarding Jebel Shammar, uncredited to, and unfurnished with, any permit from the  
Turkish Government.

I think our activity, supplemented by the slanderous newspaper work, to which I have referred, is quite enough to arouse Turkish suspicion and prejudice the Val-

Our survey and gazetteer excursions may  
think it is unavoidable. Our  
open to misinstruction  
early opportunity of convey  
in the last paragraphs of your Execl.

(Signed) F. E. CROW.

No. 15

*N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Londonderry.*—Received July 17 1841.

Thermon, July 10, 1953

1. Value as measured in my company's global network calculated by the Value Chain =  $\frac{\text{Revenue} - \text{Cost of Sales} - \text{SG\&A} - \text{R\&D}}{\text{No. of Employees}}$

It is wish Mr. Crow respects the construction which  
 sense of my instructions, your Ex. risks, will see that,  
 immediately denying having sent the report referred to  
 persons involved giving a false report  
 that I approve the language which he held to Mr. Webb

I have, Sir,  
Yours truly,  
N. R. COUCH.

Enclosure in No. 15.

\* Sent by post to Sir N O'Connor.

(No. 35. Controversy,

26 June 14 1945

I CALLED on the Agent this morning in reference to the report that 110,000,000  
 Exports were reported to, collected at the 10th instant. I told his Excellency that  
 on and on a balance given to the 8th inst. Porto about 100,000,000 and  
 value. There was no foundation for those reports, they were

If evil resulted from it, responsibility would rest on

The above is a literal translation of my remarks. The Vah, in reply, stated that he had now been five years in the country and during that time he had been frequently questioned by the Grand Vizier and Ministers at Bagdad in regard to alleged disturbances in



of Montek and Amara. He had been in close correspondence with friends in both places, and was convinced that there was no ground for these reports. He had communicated his conclusions to Constantinople, but had been told that he was wrong.

He had been directed to go to Montek, and he had done so. He found that Ahmad Pasha Mutesarrif of Montek had exaggerated the Sadm incident, and had quarrelled with Salim Pasha and had abused his authority. He informed the Grand Vizier accordingly. He had then been asked by the Grand Vizier whether there were any foreign interferences in these places or in Nejd, and had replied that there was and could not be, as no foreigners were resident there, and there were no foreign interests there.

I interrupted his Excellency to inquire whether officials here had been interfering and causing trouble. He evaded my question, and he said that the officers of the cavalry, calling them traitors, hares, unclean beasts, mean and false men.

I then asked him categorically whether he did not think that the reports of the nature referred to. He assured me he had replied to the Grand Vizier's questions, had stated that England was not interested in the matter, as there were many English but they were commercial concerns to have time for anything else. He further stated that since his arrival he had seen no signs of English interference in the internal affairs of the country. As regards Kuwait his Excellency said the subject was a troublesome one and a perpetual source of unrest to the people round about. Until the question whether Kuwait belonged to Turkey or to England was settled he anticipated no relief from his anxieties in regard to it.

I replied that I did not think the subject need worry him, as it had been under the consideration of the Sublime Porte, and an understanding concerning the whole matter had been arrived at between the two Governments, according to which the present situation was to be maintained. I explained to him that our main political problem in these parts was the protection of the approach to India, and that, in order to counteract any hostile intentions on the part of foreign Governments in reference to that country we had concluded arrangements with neighbouring Powers, such as Turkey and Persia. The Kuwait arrangement was of this category.

In conclusion his Excellency said that the reports of which I had complained emanated probably from Bagdad, and he would do all he could to throw light on the subject, so that the authors might be punished. He said he would be happy to renew the discussion whenever I desired, and I told him that I would convey his remarks to my Excellency.

I have &c.  
(Signed) P. E. CLOW

#### No. 10

N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne—(Received July 17.)

(N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne—(Received July 17.)

My Lord,  
Therapia, July 12, 1905.  
Mr Consul Devey's despatch No. 61 of the 10th ultimo, sent direct to your Lordship, and to my despatch No. 447 of the 27th June, I have the honour to transmit a further despatch from Mr. Devey, stating that there were grounds for suspecting that the reports of the revolt in Assir have been somewhat exaggerated and that the revolt at Atha had been ruled by Ahmad Ibn Ali probably re-  
Hib, a town of secondary importance in the Yemen.

A telegram I have received from Mr. Devey to-day states that two brothers of Ahmad Ibn Ali and 1000 foot were have been killed or captured.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR

#### Inclosure in No. 16

Consul Devey to Sir N. O'Connor

(No. 71.)

Sir,

Jeddah, June 17, 1905

WITH reference to my despatch No. 64 of the 10th instant, there are grounds for suspecting some exaggeration in the report of the revolutionary movement of Assir; while most probably the telegram therein quoted referred to some place of importance, Ath in Yemen, and not to Atha, which is some 100 miles west of Camblah. Turkish Government reports state that there were no very serious occurrences up to the 10th instant, but that supplies of various grain, flour, &c., had been received and forwarded, though further consignments of sugar were still needed. Whilst this, however, rumours continue to maintain stoutly that serious insurrection has begun in Assir.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) G. P. DEVEY

#### No. 17

Treasury to Foreign Office.—(Received July 19.)

Sir,

Treasury Chambers, July 18, 1905.

IN reply to your letter of the 6th inst. I have the honour to inform the Marquess of Lansdowne that the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury sanction the appointment of Mr. Harold Graham (1st Secretary in His Majesty's Diplomatic Service, at present employed in the Foreign Office as His Majesty's Agent to attend the Meccat Arbitration Tribunal, which will meet at The Hague on the 25th inst.)

My Lords agree to the proposal that Mr. Graham should be granted subsistence allowance of 30s. a-day during the term of his employment at The Hague, and that he should also receive his actual expenses of locomotion together with the (vouched) cost of a room for the transaction of official business.

With reference to the penultimate paragraph of your letter, I am to signify their Lordships' approval of the offer of a fee of 105/ to Mr. Cross, and to the grant to him of subsistence allowance of 30s. a-day while absent from England, together with his actual expenses of locomotion.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) C. H. MURRAY.

#### No. 18

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor

(N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne—(Received July 17.)

Sir,

Foreign Office, July 19, 1905

I HAVE received your Excellency's despatch No. 473, Confidential, of the 10th inst. regarding the mendacious report sent to Constantinople by the Vali of Basrah, according to British intrigues many of the troubles with which the Turks have lately had to deal in North-east Arabia.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) LANSDOWNE



No. 1

*M. Pansa to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 20)*

(Translation.)

My Lord,

*Italian Embassy, July 17, 1903*

ON the 20th June last, your Lordship desired me to ascertain whether the Italian Government were willing that the British and Italian Ambassadors at Paris should take steps simultaneously to call the attention of the French Government once more to the question of the arms traffic in the Red Sea, especially with regard to the exportation of arms from Djibouti to the Arabian coast, whence they are re-imported into Africa, the branch of this traffic which has given the greatest trouble.

I have the honor to inform you that the Italian Government have made to the French Government the following proposals:

I have, &c.  
red) Pansa

No. 2

*India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received July 21)*

Sir,

*India Office, July*

WITH reference to Sir E. Gorst's letter of the 22nd May, and to my letter of the 31st May, on the subject of the Turkish post at Babyan Island, I have the honor to inform you that you will inform the Marquess of Lansdowne by Mr. Secretary Brodick to request that you will inform the Marquess of Lansdowne that the Government of India have now replied to his telegram of the 31st May, in which they were instructed to ascertain what support it would be necessary to afford Sheikh of Koweit to enable him to establish a post on Babyan, in the event such a step being rendered necessary by the failure of the Turkish Government to comply with a request for the withdrawal of their post from the island.

Major Cox has reported the conditions on which Sheikh Maharak would be ready to take this step, and a copy of his letter is attached.

With regard to the first condition mentioned in the Government of India's telegram, Mr. Brodick apprehends that no difficulty arises, as Sir N. O'Connor has advised the Turkish Government that His Majesty's Government reserve the right of Koweit's rights to Babyan Island.

The only point of difficulty in the second condition appears to Mr. Brodick to be that the Political Agent should be maintained at Koweit on a practically permanent footing.

As Lord Lansdowne is aware, Mr. Brodick proposed, in my letter of the 2nd June, 1901, to appoint an officer as Political Agent at Koweit and Captain Knox was appointed to that post after the concurrence of the Marquess of Lansdowne had been duly received.

The intention of the Government of India was that the appointment should be permanent, but from Sir E. Gorst's letter of the 26th October, 1901, it appeared that Lord Lansdowne desired to be viewed as having agreed to the view of the 29th August, 1901, that it would be better to "avoid raising a difficult and uncertain question with the Turkish Government by appointing a British officer to reside permanently at Koweit." The Government of India were accordingly instructed in Mr. Brodick's despatch of the 11th November 1901, and Captain Knox left Koweit in the early part of the present year.

If, then, the Turkish Government are unable to give a satisfactory reply to the question which it is proposed, in Sir E. Gorst's letter of the 22nd May last, to instruct Sir N. O'Connor to address to them as to the withdrawal of their post from Babyan, it will be necessary to review this decision before any announcement can be made to the Porte that His Majesty's Government intend to arrange for a Koweit post on the island. It will be observed that Major Cox expressed to the Sheikh his belief that

\* Telegram from Viceroy, June 26, retransmitted to Foreign Office, June 27, 1902.  
† See Mr. Brodick's letter dated June 27, 1902.

the British Government would inform the Porte that they had recognized the Sheikh's claim to Babyan, and had advised him to institute a post on the island. I am to inquire whether this statement is approved.

Yours faithfully,  
Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury  
the financial assistance which the Sheikh would require.

Mr. Brodick proposes, with reference to the final paragraph of Lord Curzon's telegram, to sanction the deputation of Major Cox to Khor Abdulla, with a view to the selection of posts, should the occasion arise.

I am, &c.  
Signed) A. GODLEY

Inclosure in No. 20

*Major Cox to Government of India*

Confidential,

Sir,

*At Sea, June 11,*

IN accordance with the instructions conveyed in your telegram dated June 10th, and now forwarded to me, I have the honor to inform you that

first place the Sheikh stated that the idea of his maintaining a post on Babyan Island commended itself to him very much, but that he could not venture to put the proposal if he had to take upon himself the undivided onus, or claim, which such a move would involve. He continued that he had already suffered so much from the retaliative measures of the Turks, at his connection with

- (1) The imprisonment of his Agent,
- (2) The arrest of his friends,
- (3) Interference with his Pao property and the murder of his employees

that he naturally hesitated to give them any excuse for further reprisals.

He, on the other hand, it was quite understood, as I assured him I believed to be the case, that the British Government were prepared to inform the Porte that they recognized his claim to Babyan, and were advising him to institute, or supporting him in instituting a post or posts on the island, then he said that he, Sheikh Maharak, was only too glad to carry out the project.

Asked what form and amount of assistance he would require from Government, he replied

- (a) That we should maintain a Political Agent at Koweit (i.e., as a practical permanency), who would give him support and advice,
- (b) That Government should occasionally send a man-of-war to Koweit, and up to the coast,
- (c) That Government should, if there was no objection, bear the cost of the erection of guards' quarters (estimated at 5000 rupees) and their maintenance (at 100 rupees per month).

At this juncture I produced the chart, and discussed with the Sheikh the position of the post. As to strength, he expressed the opinion that ten men would be sufficient for one guard, and at any rate not more than twenty. As to position, he strongly advocated three blockhouses, not one only. (At this point I begged him to "sketch" a survey of the Khor Abdulla.)

He then considered the most important position on Babyan to be the point of Rasal Gent, apparently about 1½ miles north-east of the present position.

He regards this as strategically the most desirable site, and the direction of Zobeir must pass up the Khor Abdulla, and a post at Rasal Gent will be palpable to all.

The second post he agrees might be on the most north-west point of the eastern extremity of Warba Island, which he considers to be the third position, to which he also attaches special practical importance, would be the Jazret-el-Sool, or "Wool Island," one of the islands in the creek almost due east of Umkhar post, and probably the island shown on the top margin of the "Sphinx"

Sheikh Maharak declares that in this island especially his claim cannot be



disputed, for it has been used from time immemorial, and still is, as a depôt for wool under export from the hinterland, and that all the wool merchants from Koweit proceed there regularly at certain seasons.

I informed the Sheikh here that I had only been instructed to discuss Buhyan with him, and in that connection one post on the north-east of the island, to balance the Turkish posts at Umkar and Al Geit. He begged me, however, to communicate my complete views to Government.

5. As a matter of fact, instead of threatening to support Sheikh Muharek in the north-east end of Buhyan to balance the two Turkish posts, and at the same time more effective and more logical, to be opposite Al Geit and another opposite Umkar. In this latter connection I asked Sheikh Muharek whether the location of the Turkish and Koweit posts, within rifle shot of one another, would not lead to friction. He replied that this would not be in the least likely, for, as it was, the Turkish guards at the two existing posts were constantly so much on their beam ends that they were frequently dependent on him people for the necessities of life, and this would always be the case.

6. In the concluding sentence of the Foreign Department telegram under reply, I gather that my views are asked especially as to—

- (1.) The support which it would be necessary for us to give Muharek
- (2.) The complete reservation of the right to send an agent to Koweit from time to time

With regard to (1) Sheikh Muharek did not make the slightest hint to me in the direction of a subsidy, and I imagine that he suggested our defraying the small cost of erection and maintenance (i.e., 5000 rupees for building and 100 rupees per annum for the upkeep of each post), more because the payment would give Government a direct interest in the project, than that the refund of the money was any object to him. Muharek has, however, suggested on one or two previous occasions that a subsidy would be acceptable to him, and if the present proposals take shape, perhaps the occasion would be considered a suitable one for starting such a subsidy.

With reference to (2), Sheikh Muharek, as I have mentioned above, stated, without hesitation, as the first condition on which the undertaking could be put in hand, that the British Government should keep an Agent permanently at Koweit. Apart from this, I venture to state that, when reading the opening words of the Secretary of State's telegram in the present connection, the first thought that struck me was that it seemed a pity that the opening of negotiations with regard to Buhyan should be admitted in any way, as between us and the Turkish Government, to hinge on the temporary absence or withdrawal of our Agent from Koweit. But possibly I have misinterpreted the sentence, and His Majesty's Government have no such intention.

I beg to observe that it is difficult for me to advise satisfactorily as to the best position for a post or posts without acquainting myself roughly with the features of the ground on the spot; and it also seems important that the "Jesret" mentioned in paragraph 4 above, should be identified and reported on. I did not think it prudent to proceed there, however unobtrusively, without reference to Government; but if there is not considered to be any objection thereto, it would be convenient if I could be informed accordingly by telegraph.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) P. Z. COX.

No. 20 a.

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir P. Borth.

(N. 91 Africa.)

Foreign Office, July 24, 1901

WITH reference to my despatch No. 77, Africa, of the 20th June respecting the measures to be taken for the suppression of the traffic in arms at Jibuti, I transmit herewith a translation of the reply which I have received from the Italian Ambassador to my note of the 20th June,\* suggesting the advisability of further

represent government with regard to the export of  
apart from to Africa  
they will perceive that the Italian Government concur in my  
and further joint representations should be made on the subject.

Mr. Graham to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—Receipt

(No. 1)  
My Lord,

The Hague, July 24

I HAVE the honour to transmit to your Lordship herewith the text of Supplementary Conclusions.

I received them this afternoon, and did not reach me till 5.30 P.M.

The French Government have their action, which is of the "Compromis" of the 13th October, and the French conclusions were merely a summary of the arguments had been introduced. France has therefore

contemplated a  
Lordship's  
The matter appears, however, to be primarily  
in the circumstances  
I should I have a

to accept the Supplementary  
proposed to draw  
to state that I  
conclusion of  
Should the Court decide to accept the conclusions, I would have the  
right of His Majesty's Government to reply, under Article III of  
I have examined, with Mr. Craies assistance, the French sup-

unsubstantiated by documentary evidence, regarding the alleged grant to  
which facts, they do not appear to contain any fresh points of fact of any  
nor are they likely to materially assist the French, or affect the  
of a Memorandum by Mr. Craies on this subject is herewith annexed

and the French Government requested permission to submit these  
Supplementary Conclusions to the Court, it is probable that His Majesty's Government  
would not have objected, subject to a full reservation of their right to reply

submit, for your Lordship's consideration, that, subject to such  
tion, I might be authorized to agree, if the occasion arises, to the submission  
the Tribunal of the French Supplementary Conclusion  
regular manner in which they have been presented

I have, &c.  
(Signed) RONALD GRAHAM



Inclosure 1 in No. 20

Supplementary Conclusions presented by the French Government to the Mixed Arbitral Tribunal

(Not printed)

Inclosure 2 in No. 20

Memorandum by Mr. Craik

1st Supplementary Conclusions as

referred to in the French Supplementary Conclusions, and avoids giving any evidence in support of them.

As to allegation on p. 5 as to Treaty of 1841.

Great Britain in the Argument has followed the terms of Article III, paragraph 3, of the "Convention."

The argument as to the Treaty of 1841 is not new. (See British Case, pp. 7-11.)

The reference to the Treaty in the Argument is directed to the contention that the Treaty is *res inter alios acta*.

The British Argument is not a *fait nouveau*.

and only minor additions the letters referred to in Appendix 2 (p. 40) of the

The *Journal de la Presse* of 23rd July, 1899, is a telegram of the 23rd July, 1899.

The *Journal de la Presse*

misunderstanding of the decision in the present case.

The *Journal de la Presse* seems to be claimed to be the source of the statement. It is not stated who explained to the *Journal de la Presse* the statement.

There is nothing new in this, except the fact of Lord Canning's Award, which was also brought over in 1841.

The statement as to Ali in the British Argument is supported by documents. The new statements by France are not. But the matter is trivial.

There was no statement by France in her Case.

Statement of Sultan as to Debut, but it is immaterial.

No. 21

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir P. Borthwick

N. 100

Foreign Office, July 22, 1899

In my despatch No. 473 of the 12th July, respecting the inquiry made by the French Ambassador as to the proximity of the *Aden* from the *Shikh Said*, I transmit herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of the Memorandum and map which I handed to M. Cambon to-day in explanation of the relative positions of *Shikh Said* and the boundary recently delimited by the Commissioners.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) LANSDOWNE

Marquess of Lansdowne — Received July 26

The Honourable

our to report, with reference to my despatch No. 473 of the 12th July, 1899, in which I stated that the Mixed Arbitral Tribunal took place at

the Arbitrators, Messrs. Lammach, Savary, and Lohman

Fuller and the British and French Delegates, there were present M.

H. Howard, and nearly all the other Diplomatic Representatives

who constitute the Permanent Administrative Council of the Court.

M. Lammach, as President of the Tribunal, opened the proceedings in a brief

statement. He referred eloquently to the progress of the idea of arbitration

and difficulties, expressed his appreciation of the honour done

to the Tribunal, and welcomed the British and French Delegates. He then

opened the proceedings by reading the names of the Secretaries appointed to the Tribunal.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.

The French Delegation then referred to the French Supplementary Conclusions

and on which I had the honour to state the French Agent. During the

discussion of these Conclusions directed to the French Agent.



rest with His Majesty's Government, and the incident of the Supplementary Conclusions, disclosing as it does a certain nervousness on the part of the British Government with their own as compared with the Brusa Cases cannot be an impression on the Tribunal, and react on it.

I propose, subject to your Lordship's approval, to submit a reply to the French Supplementary Conclusions, on the following day, the 27th instant, at which a draft reply, by Mr. Craies, might be considered. It is evident to the Arbitrators, that they are anxious to bring the matter to a conclusion, and that they desire that our reply should be submitted as soon as possible.

I have endeavoured to ascertain whether oral arguments will be required, in the course of private conversation with the Arbitrators, that the Arbitrators are satisfied with the written arguments, and that it is extremely unlikely that oral arguments will be required.

I have &c  
(Signed) RONALD GRAHAM

#### Inclosure 1 in No. 22.

#### Statement by Mr. Graham

THE French Supplementary Conclusions were communicated to me yesterday evening.

This is essentially a friendly arbitration, in which His Majesty's Government desire to meet the objections or wishes of the French Government in the most friendly spirit. But the action of the French Government in submitting Supplementary Conclusions on the day before the Tribunal meets has come as a complete surprise. The Arbitration with Article II of the "Compromis" of October 1904, which stipulates that the Argument or Conclusions should be submitted within one month of the delivery of the Counter-Case, unless the period is extended by agreement of the Contracting Parties. The Tribunal may, under Article II, call for further statements, but I am not aware that it has done so on this occasion.

The British Argument was drawn up in full conformity with the provisions of Article II of the "Compromis," and is in the form adopted on previous occasions. It was of necessity somewhat elaborate in view of the quantity of new matter imported into the French Counter-Case.

The Argument has been in the possession of the French Government for some fortnight, but it was not until yesterday that we had any communication from the French Government as to its form or desire to submit Supplementary Conclusions to the Tribunal.

I have referred to my Government for instructions, and would respectfully submit to the Court that the consideration of the question should be deferred for a few days until I can receive a reply.

#### Inclosure 2 in No. 22.

#### Decision of Arbitrators.

VU les Articles XLIII et XLIII de la Convention de La Haye du 29 Juin 1899, pour le règlement pacifique des conflits internationaux.

Le Tribunal a admis le dépôt des Conclusions Complémentaires présentées au nom du Gouvernement de la République Française, et réserve aux Représentants du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique le droit de répondre soit avant, soit à la séance de Mardi, le 1<sup>er</sup> Août, 1905.

#### Inclosure 3 in No. 22.

#### Statement by M. Lammasch on the Language Question

La langue du Tribunal sera le Français.

Toutefois, conformément à la décision prise par les deux Parties intéressées, et communiquée au Tribunal par les Ministres de France et d'Angleterre à La Haye, en date du 13 Mai dernier, les Parties auront le droit de se servir des deux langues, le Français et l'Anglais, concurremment au cours des débats.

Quant aux procès-verbaux et la sentence, ils seront rédigés en Français, mais accompagnés d'une traduction officielle en Anglais.

#### India Office to Foreign Office.

Forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a Secret letter from the Government of India, dated the 22nd June last, regarding the alleged erection of a guard-house on the mainland opposite Bahrein.

India Office, July 25, 1905

#### Inclosure 1 in No. 23.

Forwarded herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a Secret letter from the Government of India, dated the 22nd June last, regarding the alleged erection of a guard-house on the mainland opposite Bahrein.

W. (Signed)  
C. L. ZON  
H. E. RICHARDS  
J. P. HEWELL  
E. N. BAKER  
C. L. TUPPER

#### Inclosure 2 in No. 23.

#### Captain Trever to Government of India.

(Confidential)

WITH reference to your letter of the 22nd June last, I have the honour to forward, for the information of the Government of India, a copy of a letter which I have received from the Political Agent, Bahrein, on the subject of the alleged building of a guard-house by the British naval authorities opposite Bahrein.

Captain Pridoux' Report explains the matter fully, and it is unnecessary to comment beyond remarking that the complaint made by the Turkish Government is as baseless as their other recent complaints.



Enclosure 3 in No. 23.

Captain Pruleaux to Political Resident, Bushire.

Bahrein, May 19, 1905

IN reply to your letter dated the 12th May last on the subject of two communications made by the Turkish Ambassador in London to His Majesty's Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, complaining of the erection of variously described buildings in the vicinity of Bahrein but on Turkish territory, I have the honour to report that no such infringements of Turkish rights have been in any way committed.

The complaint probably is founded on one or more of the three following incidents:

1.) In February last, after completion of the nautical survey of Khor Kalyn the Commander of His Majesty's ship "Redbreast" caused a cairn or beacon to be built in the sea near Muharrag Island for the guidance of navigators entering the harbour.

2.) In March, the Commander of His Majesty's ship "Redbreast" laid out a range and erected a step-butt on the sandy desert at the south end of S'ira Island of the Bahrein group, east of and adjacent to the chief island on which Manama is situated. In the construction of this range no efforts were employed to give it a permanent character, but in a country climate such as Bahrein has there is little doubt that the range will last for many years. No objections were raised by Sheikh Is in this connection. On the contrary he deputed an official to attend the camp and render all necessary assistance to the officer conducting the masonry practices.

3.) In February also, when I heard that an infantry detachment was on its way to Bahrein to act as Political Agent's guard, I commenced building a guard-room of the ordinary pattern close to the main entrance of the Agency house and within our grounds. A barrack-room has at 1 to be built, and for this the Chief has additional site adjoining the west side of the Agency.

3. These are the only cases in which His Majesty's naval officers have been connected with the construction of new buildings this year. A Memorial Hospital was started last year, and cannot have any connection with the complaint.

4. I am inclined to think that the Turkish Ambassador, and possibly his secretaries too, have received no correct reports of recent events here. I paid to Bahrein by His Majesty's ship "Fox," "Sphinx," and "Arrival of the Agency."

5. Only have been now compressed into one incident "infringement of the rights of the Imperial Government."

No. 1

V. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received July 1905)

No. 761)

My Lord,

Therapia, July 1905

With reference to my despatch No. 126 of the 12th May, relative to the military operations in the Nejd and the peaceful occupation by the Turkish troops of I

na, and Kassar, I have the honour to inform your Lordship that I am in receipt of despatch from His Majesty's Consul at Bussorah reporting that the Turkish troops

moved on the 26th May to Sh. 4 g, which appears to be situated some 30 miles south-west of Bussorah. The battalions, however, should still be at Kassar.

Mr. Crow further states that, from advices received at the headquarters of 1 to Army Corps at Bagdad, these troops appear to be in great straits from

money and supplies. The men are on half rations and unable to buy shrouds for their

1. Instructions were sent to the Vahid of Bussorah to remit money to Kassar but

only 1,000 has been forwarded.

I have, &c.

(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR

2.

No. 27

of Lansdowne.—(Received July 31.)

Therapia, July 25, 1905

the honour to transmit herewith to your Lordship copy of a despatch which I have received from the Government of India, inclosing copy of a letter from Captain M. O'C. Tandy, R.E., formerly employed as British survey officer with the Boundary Commission, calling attention to certain discrepancies between the descriptions and the maps signed by the British and Turkish Commissioners in connection with the recent local settlement of the Aden boundary.

I venture to suggest that the despatch of the Indian Government and its

Fitzmaurice who

deal with the points raised by Captain

In order to save time it will be well that Mr. Fitzmaurice's observations should

it will be very difficult

that each case is to be

by a question

I have, &c.

N. R. O'CONNOR

Suita, June 29, 1905

se to the documents and maps recently forwarded by Mr. Fitzmaurice, in connection with the recent local settlement of the Aden boundary. I have the honour to forward herewith, for your Excellency, from Captain M. O'C. Tandy, R.E., formerly British survey officer with the Boundary Commission, by which he draws attention to discrepancies between the descriptions and the maps signed by the British and Turkish Commissioners. Captain Tandy's remarks are to the effect of an English report signed by Mr. Fitzmaurice at the time of his remarks, however, he jointly signed documents in French.

His Excellency will, no doubt, after consultation with Mr. Fitzmaurice, whether the points raised under (b) and (c) in regard to Jebel Sam San in the map are of sufficient importance to make it advisable to attempt a revision of the jointly signed description of the kind suggested by Captain Tandy.

3. No action is being taken on Captain Tandy's suggestion for the insertion of Bah al-Mazar in the final map, which will be exactly as shown by the Boundary Commissioners.

I have, &c.

(Signed) S. M. FRASER

Enclosure 2 in No. 25

Captain Tandy to Mr. C. Somers Coombs.

My dear Somers Coombs,

Henmore, Suita, June 17, 1905

I RETU RN herewith the description of the Aden boundary-line from Bah al-Mazar to the sea, which you sent me with your semi-official letter of the 13th instant.

As regards to this part of the boundary, there are three points to which I should like to call your attention, viz:

(a.) The description of the boundary states:

"From J. Numan the line turns . . . passing through the points al-Hanna . . ."

I am

The name of this col should be taking place, both Colonel Wahab and Mr. J. Sin Sanfa, when the spot for the boundary to traverse is fully ascertained. Mr. Fitzmaurice has identified the point has called on the final maps.

In the map, J. Sin Sanfa, when and then from the sea, as the name in the map. In this case the intention of the Commission boundary should run as shown on the map, and, in order that the description agree with the map, either the words "J. Sin Sanfa" should be omitted, or the description might be amended somehow as follows —

From J. Sin Sanfa to the sea, and then to the sea.

(c.) The description under heading LXVIII states

"It (the boundary) then descends . . . in a line to J. Kuwah."

Now on J. Kuwah there are two points of almost equal height. From the western and slightly lower of these two points I made some observations, a point I built a small rubble cairn. This point is shown on the map by a triangle. In this point the boundary-line has been drawn by the Commission, however, the true top of the hill, and any ground from the description would and under point. The difference in height between the two points is about 100 feet, and the distance between them is about 100 feet, which Sheikh said I

map, to the small cairn which I built. The western summit of J. Kuwah being the true top of J. Kuwah, if they wished the boundary to run to the highest point of J. Kuwah, the line drawn in red by the Commissioners should be altered.

I am, Sir, to your notice, and hope you will be able to give me instructions about them at an early date, as until you do so I shall be unable to send the maps to you.

M. O'CONNOR

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne. (Received July 31)

(No. 513)

My Lord

I HAVE the honour to report that His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Haidarabad reports that troops have been advancing successfully from Musakhia and have been said to have had two skirmishes with the insurgents in the Musakhia, in which the latter suffered severe losses.

I have &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR

Marquess of Lansdowne. (Received July 31.)

Constantinople, July 28, 1907

I the 12th instant I have the honour to inform the Military Attaché to the British Legation in the dispatch of reinforcements

Enclosure in No. 7

Lieutenant Colonel Maun

I report as follows on the progress of reinforcements for the

After many orders and counter-orders, eight battalions of the 25th (Kharput) Redif Brigade are now on the march down to Alexandria, and the first battalion of the brigade has arrived there, while others are shortly expected. They will be embarked in steamers of the Maderse Company and transported to Haidarabad.

The 32nd (Cukut) Redif Brigade has been mobilized, and is now marching on Angora, where they will embark for Smyrna by the Asia Minor.

Two French steamers have been chartered to proceed to Smyrna for the conveyance of these men to Haidarabad.

Altogether, these will comprise a reinforcement of sixteen battalions, or about 12,000 men.

The 19th (Nizam) Division, at one time under orders for the Yemen, has now after several days of fruitless march and counter-marching, returned to their original stations to await further orders, if required.

The 63rd (Karapazak) Mounted Cavalry Regiment from Syria, and the four regiments of the 1st (Haidarabad) Cavalry Division, which were under orders to go to the Yemen, have been countermanded, apparently as it was found hopeless

the case of Ibrahim Pasha of Veraushen. Notwithstanding, however, four regiments of the 11th (Haidarabad) Cavalry Division, north of Lake Van, under the command of the celebrated Hussein Pasha, have been ordered to mobilize and proceed to the Yemen. As they are close to the Russian frontier, these regiments might be employed along their immediate front in suppressing Armenian disturbances, and I have heard this was the intention, but the Minister of War assures me that they are destined for the Yemen.

Consular despatches for some years past contain so much about Hussein Pasha of Patnos and his doings that it is almost superfluous to add anything regarding the character of this brigand chieftain, as he may well be described

as a brigand. I sincerely think it likely he will ever go to the Yemen, but it is his good if he does.

In the Yemen itself, Ahmed Feizi Pasha is slowly feeling his way towards Sanaa and has lately been engaged in some minor expeditions on either side of the main route, which have met with some measure of success.

He has now arrived at Meclak, and is now in a position to move on himself, as the rebels do not seem inclined to oppose him.

But if he should enter the capital, they will probably pursue their former tactics, cut off his communications, and again starve the garrison into surrender.

In Asir certain minor Turkish successes have been gained, but the rebels still remain in the hands of the rebels.

I have &c.  
(Signed) F. R. MAUNSELL, Military Attaché



had accepted,  
 thanked me for the expedition with which His Majesty's Govern-  
 ment delivered their reply. The manner in which they had met the  
 spect, and had thus facilitated its labours, had been much

I have, &c.  
 (Signed) RONALD GRILLAM

## Inclosure 1 in No. 31

Statement by M. Herbet

LE Gouvernement de la République n'a, en principe, présenter contre la réplique du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté.

Conclusions Complémentaires

Il désire, toutefois, signaler à l'attention du Tribunal quelques

Au cas où ces points n'auraient pas été examinés au cours des débats, l'occasion ne m'aurait pas été ainsi offerte, tout naturellement, de les mettre en

je solliciterais, alors, de la bienveillance du Tribunal, l'autorisation de le faire

## Forme de l'Argument (Conclusions du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britan

Il est possible que l'Argument Britannique ait été présenté dans la form en Angleterre, mais il n'en est pas moins vrai que cette forme donnait au Gouvern Anglais la faculté de répondre au Contre-Mémoire Français.

Cette faculté ne nous était pas accordée puisque les

Français, ne sont qu'un résumé juridique des faits

prouvés

Les précédents invoqués par la Grande-Bretagne remontent, au surplus,

pu de la Cour de La Haye n'existant pas; d'autres, au contraire,

affaires qui n'ont pas été soumises à cette Cour

Il serait très heureux que le Tribunal

## Intervention du Sultan de Mascate dans le présent Arbitrage

Le Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique fait ressortir, dans sa réplique (p. 2), qu'après la conclusion du Compromis du 13 Octobre, il n'était pas d'accord avec le Sultan de Mascate pour la présentation des arguments aux commissions Françaises dans l'Oman, et qu'en conséquence, sa Hauteurs Seyyid Foyan n'a pas été entraînée, malgré elle, dans le litige actuel.

La France a indiqué dans son Contre-Mémoire (p. 6) que, dès le début des négociations relatives au présent arbitrage, en Mai 1903, elle a refusé d'admettre l'intervention du Sultan.

Le 20 Mai, 1903, en effet, M. Paul Cambon, Ambassadeur de la République à Londres, repoussait un projet d'entente au sujet de l'arbitrage, que lui proposait le Marquis de Lansdowne et qui tendait à mêler le Souverain de l'Oman à la question pendante entre la France et la Grande-Bretagne.

M. Paul Cambon faisait observer alors au Secrétaire d'Etat des Affaires Étrangères que le Gouvernement Français acceptait l'arbitrage sur l'interprétation de ses Conventions avec l'Angleterre, ou des Déclarations communes aux deux pays, mais qu'il n'était en aucune façon disposé à accepter les demandes d'arbitrage qu'il plaiderait devant la Cour de La Haye.

Devant cette déclaration formelle, le Marquis de Lansdowne consentit à supprimer la mention concernant le Sultan de Mascate, et le texte des lettres échangées le jour même, 25 Mai (voir Contre-Mémoire Français, Annexe III pp. 79-80), en fait foi.

Le Gouvernement de la République a donc lieu de s'étonner de la démarche effectuée le 20 Juillet suivant par le Vice-Roi des Indes auprès du Sultan de Mascate, démarche qui a été renouvelée au cours de l'année 1904 et le 19 Mars, 1905.

Les observations qu'il a présentées à ce sujet, tant dans son Contre-Mémoire (p. 6) que dans ses Conclusions Complémentaires (p. 7), gardent toute leur valeur, même après la réplique du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique.

(3)

## Notification du Sultan pour interdire à l'avenir l'Octroi des Pavillons Étrangers.

La partie adverse ne donne aucune indication sur la date à laquelle on a interrogé le Sultan au sujet de l'authenticité des deux versions qui se rapportent à l'Édit du 15 Juin, 1900, et sur les conditions dans lesquelles cette interrogation lui a été faite.

Il reste donc ouvert en ce qui touche le texte réel de l'Édit et sa portée, l'affirmation Anglaise que l'Édit du Sultan ne constitue pas la reconnaissance, pour le passé, de la validité des titres de navigation étrangers, elle est contestée par la France, et les motifs de cette contestation sont exposés dans le Contre-Mémoire Français (p. 10) et dans les Conclusions Complémentaires (pp. 12 et 13).

Les Souris, contraincis à remettre au Sultan leurs papiers Français, ont formellement protesté auprès du Consul de France à Mascate (Mémoire Français, p. 11), et de nouveaux titres leur ont été alors délivrés.

Il est donc évident que les Souris ne réclament de la protection Française, et de conséquence, aucune nulle une renonciation à cette protection qui leur avait été promise, ni la menace ou la violence.

(4)

## Les Protestations du Sultan.

Le Gouvernement Britannique est revenu, à différentes reprises, dans ses documents (Mémoire, p. 12, Appendice 10; Appendice 7, Annexe 9, Appendice 11, Contre-Mémoire, p. 8)

Il est que le Sultan a commencé, dès Mars-Avril 1891, à protester contre l'octroi de titres et de pavillons Français à des Souris.

Il y a peut-être lieu de rapprocher cette attitude de sa Hauteurs Seyyid Foyan, de la signature des Traités Anglo-Mascatins des 19 Mars\* et 20 Mars, 1891.

La France n'a eu connaissance que du texte du Traité du 19 Mars. Le Traité du 20 Mars ne lui a pas été communiqué pas plus qu'au Tribunal. C'est ainsi qu'elle est amenée à se demander s'il n'y a pas corrélation étroite entre les engagements contractés par le Sultan vis-à-vis de l'Angleterre, le 20 Mars, 1891, et la nouvelle politique qu'il a adoptée, à cette époque, à l'égard des bontes françaises.

Il serait très facile de dissiper cette équivoque en apportant le texte du Traité du 20 Mars, 1891.

(5)

## Droits de Police et de Jurisdiction dans les Eaux Territoriales.—Capitulations et Extraterritorialité

Sur ces deux points, le Tribunal se trouve en présence de thèses différentes, qui ont été soutenues et défendues avec un soin égal par les deux Parties en cause, il lui appartient de les apprécier.

On doit remarquer, cependant, que dans tous les pays de Capitulation, c'est une question de fait, et non de droit, qui se pose, à savoir si les Capitulations s'appliquent ou non, en l'absence de Traités. On ne saurait, d'autre part, établir une distinction entre protégés, du fait de leur religion, car l'Article IV du Traité Franco-Mascatin du 17 Novembre, 1844, et l'Article II du Traité Anglo-Mascatin du 19 Mars, 1891, seraient alors difficilement applicables.

\* Le Mémoire Britannique (p. 7) parle du Traité du 19 Août, 1891, et l'Appendice No. 3 (p. 35), qui porte le texte de cet Accord, indique la date, d'ailleurs exacte, du 19 Mars, 1891.



*Franchisation de Navires Indigènes par les Autorités Britanniques.*

Contrairement à l'opinion émise à ce sujet dans la réplique du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique (p. 7), le Gouvernement de la République estime qu'il n'est nullement hors du débat actuel de noter la délivrance effectuée, le 5 Mai dernier, par les autorités de Lamou, de titres de navigation à deux indigènes indiqués comme relevant à Sour.

En offrant au Tribunal de lui présenter les documents en question, le Gouvernement de la République ne se livre à aucune arrière-pensée.

Les titres de navigation dont il s'agit remis à Sour, le 19 Juin, au G. C. Consulat de France, ne sont parvenus à Paris qu'après les conclusions françaises. Il ne pouvait donc en être fait état avant la réunion du Tribunal, et aucune action directe ne pouvait naître.

Le Gouvernement Britannique, par un sentiment de justice, ne peut que se féliciter de la question des bontés en général.

En outre, le Gouvernement de la République ne peut que se féliciter des conclusions complémentaires contre le principe de la délivrance.

Il n'est donc pas étonnant que les indigènes par des autorités Britanniques.

Il a tenu seulement à signaler le fait aux Arbitres et à marquer sa surprise que les Arabes, dont l'un a été reconnu comme protégé Français par la Grande-Bretagne.

Le même, et l'autre est porté, avec le No. 1, sur la liste publiée dans son Contre-M. (noir p. 207) ayant vu changer leur pavillon par l'Agent Britannique à La Nou.

L'initiative de cet Agent ne peut, d'ailleurs, s'expliquer par le fait que les titres délivrés ne devaient servir à leurs détenteurs qu'à regagner Sour, puisque.

Il n'y a donc rien de nouveau à dire sur ce point.

*Relevance Zanzibari.*

Le Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique ne conteste pas, dans sa réplique, la validité de la Sentence Arbitrale de Lord Canning en 1861. Il se borne à observer que l'obligation incombant à la Grande-Bretagne de payer la redevance Zanzibari "ne dépend pas, soit pour.

mais bien des termes d'après lesquels le Sultan de Zanzibar a été chargé de payer cette redevance au Sultan de Mascate.

On est en droit de se demander si la partie de la Sentence de Lord Canning, ne l'accepte pas intégralement.

On voit plus, en elle, l'origine incontestable de l'obligation de Mascate la redevance Zanzibari.

En 1886 l'opinion du Gouvernement Britannique était différente, et il ne pouvait en être autrement devant les termes de l'Accord de 1871.

Dans un Message adressé le 17 Octobre 1887, le Gouvernement Britannique, par Lord Salisbury, et publié dans le *Lancet* de Zanzibar ("Africa No. 1," Janvier, 1888, pp. 74 et 75), il est déclaré en effet.

Ainsi les deux héritages d'Oman furent séparés et la Sentence Arbitrale de 1861 fut appliquée.

La redevance payable par le Souverain de Zanzibar à celui de Mascate fut considérée comme une compensation au Souverain de Mascate pour l'usage.

Le Gouvernement Britannique prit à sa charge la redevance payable par le Souverain de Mascate, mais il fit cela, d'abord, en vue d'une compensation pour le Sultan de Mascate, auquel le Sultan de Zanzibar refusait.

Un paiement quelconque, et ensuite parce que, du point de vue des intérêts de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Inde Anglaise, on attachait de l'importance à ce que.

aux termes de la Sentence rendue par Lord Canning.

Le Gouvernement Britannique prit à sa charge la redevance payable par le Souverain de Mascate, mais il fit cela, d'abord, en vue d'une compensation pour le Sultan de Mascate, auquel le Sultan de Zanzibar refusait.

Un paiement quelconque, et ensuite parce que, du point de vue des intérêts de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Inde Anglaise, on attachait de l'importance à ce que.

aux termes de la Sentence rendue par Lord Canning.

Le Gouvernement Britannique prit à sa charge la redevance payable par le Souverain de Mascate, mais il fit cela, d'abord, en vue d'une compensation pour le Sultan de Mascate, auquel le Sultan de Zanzibar refusait.

Le Tribunal, qu'en 1885 le Marquis de Salisbury, dans son discours classique sur Mascate, "les Traités d'Antichison," et l'explication qu'il donnait de la prise en charge par la Grande-Bretagne de la redevance Zanzibari diffère essentiellement de celle qui est apportée aujourd'hui au Tribunal.

Il convient de noter également que l'établissement du Protectorat Anglais à Zanzibar confirme la théorie Française.

La "narrative" relative à Mascate dans l'"Antichison's Treaties" affirme que, par son intervention, le paiement de la redevance de 10,000 couronnes au Sultan de Zanzibar par le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

Il est donc évident que, dans la même obligation théorique, le Gouvernement Britannique n'a pas formellement relevé le Sultan de Zanzibar de son obligation qui lui a été imposée par l'Arbitre.

No 32

Office to Foreign Office. (Received August)

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

His Excellency the Viceroy of India, Secretary of State, copy of a letter from the Viceroy of India, dated the 1st July.

Memorandum in No.

Major Grey to Government of India.

Sir, With reference to paragraph 3 of my letter dated the 3rd April last, I have the honour to report having heard from Sir that the French Vice-Consul has collected all the old French flags from their holders and given out new ones in exchange. He has not, so far as I am informed, issued any flags to persons who were holders of old ones.

A letter has been forwarded to the Political Resident in the Persian Gulf, Bushire.

I have, &c.

(Signed) W. G. GREY.

Mr. R. Graham to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 3.)

(No. 6. Confidential.)

My Lord,

The Hague, August 2, 1905

WITH reference to my despatch No. 5 of yesterday, I have the honour to report that the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal met at 11.

The Secretary-General opened the proceedings by reading aloud a letter which I had addressed to the Court yesterday afternoon to the effect that

by the French Agent unless it

statement in the above sense, copy of which was enclosed in my despatch No. 5

last, after consulting his colleagues, stated that no further elucidation of the question was necessary, and directed the Court adjourned until the final sitting. He was unable to state definitely when that would be, but promised that forty-eight hours' notice should be given. I gather that the Court will probably meet to deliver judgment on Monday, the 7th, or at the latest on Tuesday, the 8th instant.

Lordship is aware from my immediately preceding despatch, the Tribunal had rejected as irrelevant to the question at issue the two papers granted by the British East Africa authorities to natives of Sur, entitling them to use the Zanzibar flag, which the French Agent endeavoured to produce to the Court. There was therefore no need for me to read to the Court the telegram from the Commissioner of the East Africa Protectorate, as suggested in your Lordship's telegram No. 2 of last night. I

tion, and I will see that this simple explanation of the grant of these papers is brought privately to the knowledge of the Arbitrators.

I have the honour to be, Sir,  
(Signed) RONALD GRAHAM

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 3)

Sir,

India Office, August 2, 1905.

WITH reference to my letter of the 7th January last and to Mr. Fowley's despatch No. 90 of the 7th February last, I am directed by Mr. Secretary Brodrick to inclose, for the information of the Marquess of Lansdowne, a copy of a telegram from the Viceroy, reporting that the notorious pirate, Ahmed-bin-Seliman, has again been committing piracies in the Persian Gulf.

I am, Sir,  
(Signed) A. GODLEY

Inclosure in No. 34.

Government of India to Mr. Brodrick

PIRACIES off Bahrain. Please see Government of India telegram of the 8th December, 1904, No. 231. Political Resident in Persian Gulf telegraphs, under date of the 15th instant, as follows:—

"Please see my diary for last week, item No. 14. The

Statement in diary referred to was to the effect that Bahrain pearl merchant's boat was attacked, and lost two men killed and two wounded, on the 26th June, about two hours' sail from Manama.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 3.)

Sir,

India Office, August 2, 1905.

WITH reference to your letter of the 10th July on the subject of the Aden Protectorate boundary, I am directed by Mr. Secretary Brodrick to inclose, for the information of the Marquess of Lansdowne, copy of telegrams which have passed between this Office and the Government of India on the subject.

Mr. Brodrick concurs with the Government of India in thinking that it is necessary to take steps to prevent the total disappearance, which is apprehended, of the pillars, and he would suggest that His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople should be consulted on the question whether the difficulties anticipated by Mr. Fitzmaurice might not be obviated by a formal notification to the Turkish authorities, as proposed by the Government of India, concerning the steps which it is intended to take to maintain the pillars in repair.

If Lord Lansdowne agrees in this proposal, Mr. Brodrick would also suggest that Sir N. O'Connor should be consulted as to the manner in which the notification should be made. It was proposed in paragraph 4 (1) of my letter of the 4th February last that, pending arrangements of a permanent character, all communications between authorities on either side of the border in regard to disputes in that part of the frontier should be made through the

I am, Sir,  
(Signed) A. GODLEY

Inclosure 1 in No. 35.

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India.

Telegram P.  
ADEN boundary.

Your despatch No. 253 of 1904.

From your letter of the 30th April to Government of Bombay, I gather that erection of additional pillars is no longer recommended by you. As regards alternative proposal for improving existing pillars, this, in opinion of Fitzmaurice, whom the Foreign Office have consulted, will cause trouble with the Turks, as they will accuse Ameer of tampering with boundary as settled. I am inclined, under the circumstances, not to take any action on the letter of the 15th November last from Merowether. Please let me know whether you concur in this course.

Inclosure 2 in No. 35.

Government of India to Mr. Brodrick

(Telegraphic.) P.

ADEN boundary.

Your telegram of the 19th instant.

We remain of opinion that, as recommended in our despatch of the 29th December, best course will be to erect additional pillars if and when it is found feasible to do so. In the meantime, measures detailed in our letter to the Government of Bombay of the 30th April are in our opinion necessary to preserve existing pillars from actually disappearing altogether, rather than with a view to improving them. Therefore we adhere to our view, and would insist on annual repair of pillars being made the duty of Ameer of Dihala, in order to prevent loss of benefits of demarcation, though, with a view to obviating accusations which Mr. Fitzmaurice anticipates will be brought against us, it may be provided that the Turkish authorities must first be given formal notice.



83

N. 35

*India Office to Foreign Office — (Received August 3)*

Sir,

*India Office, August 2, 1905*

WITH reference to the letter from this Office of the 24th May last, inclosing copy of despatch to the Government of India as to the flagstaffs on the Maklah Isthmus and Sheep and Telegraph Islands, I am directed to forward the copy of a telegram to the Foreign Office on the subject, and the draft of a reply which Mr Secretary Brodrick proposes, with the concurrence of the Marquess of Lansdowne, to send thereto.

I am &c.  
(Signed) A. GOLDBLEY

Inclosure 1 in N. 35.

*Government of India to Mr. Brodrick*

(Telegraphic) P.

*July 22 1905*

11 AGSTAFIS on Musandim

We propose, with reference to your Secret despatch of the 19th May last, that, pending the declaration of Award of Hague Tribunal, actual removal of flagstaffs on Sheep Island and Isthmus should be deferred. Their removal now, or their assignment to the care of the Sultan, might result in drawing the attention of the Sultan to the fact that we have already taken, whereas hitherto the presence of the flagstaffs has been a matter of indifference to the French or by the Sultan. As regards Telegraph Island, removal of flagstaffs there may be attended with some advantage as showing that the sovereignty of Sultan of Muscat subsequent to our original occupation does not affect our right to reoccupy. But discussion of this subject with the Sultan might, in our opinion, be deferred until Arbitration Award has been delivered. The possible revival of British claim to Telegraph Island would resemble revival of our claim to Henjam Station, and does not, in our view, present any parallel with the Muscat Gasol incident.

Inclosure 2 in No. 35.

*Draft Telegram from Mr. Brodrick to Government of India*

N. 35.

*India Office, August 2, 1905*

11 AGSTAFIS on Musandim flagstaffs

I have no objection to course proposed in regard to flagstaffs on Isthmus and Sheep Island. Question of Telegraph Island will require further consideration before any communication is made to Sultan on this subject.

N. 35.

*Memorandum by Mr. Fitzmaurice on Captain M. O'C. To the Secretary to the Government of India, dated June 29, 1905, in reply to Mr. C. Somerset's letter of the 24th June 1905.*

[Inclosure in the Secretary to the Government of India's letter to Sir N. R. O'Connor, dated June 29, 1905.]

As mentioned by Captain Tandy, my district was the spot in question with Sheikh Kabash Head and his followers, they gave the name of the spot which named with that given independently to one of the place; and that I again visited the spot with the Turkish Consul Mustapha Rezzak Bey, accompanied by natives who called it al-Hama. The Joint Commission, accordingly, accepted

100

h





36

by the French Agent. It was obviously more important (and even a useful precedent to establish) that statements made by the British Agent should appear in the official version of the proceedings in the language in which they were delivered, than that we should be supplied with a doubtful and, in any case, valueless English translation of the French Agent's statements.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) **RONALD GRAHAM**

No. 40

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 7.)

(No. 31)

My Lord,

Constantinople, July 21, 1900.

With reference to my despatch No. 528 of the 11th ult., I forward to your Lordship herewith copy of a despatch from His Majesty's Consul-General at Baghdad on the subject of the disturbances near Tawarij, and reporting that the matter is closed.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) **N. R. O'CONNOR**

Inclosure in No. 40.

Consul-General Newmarch to Sir N. O'Connor

(No. 474 49.)

Sir,

In continuation of my telegram of the 11th ult., I have the honour to report, on the Tawarij, that peace has been restored on the following terms:

- (1.) The Arabs to receive back all the property taken from them.
- (2.) The Turkish officer responsible for the attack on the Arabs to be punished.
- (3.) A reduction of the amount claimed from the Arabs as compensation.
- (4.) The prosecution at law of the officer responsible for the attack on the Arabs.

The incident is now to be at an end.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) **L. S. NEWMARCH, Major.**

No. 41

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 7.)

(No. 32)

My Lord,

The Hague, August 1, 1900.

With reference to my despatch No. 513 of the 26th ultimo, respecting the Yemen, I have the honour to report that the "Official Gazette," issued to-day, announces that Marshal Feizi Pasha, the General Officer Commanding the Yemen, has also been appointed Acting Wali of the province.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) **N. R. O'CONNOR**

37

Memorandum to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 7.)

(No. 8)

My Lord,

The Hague, August 6, 1900.

With reference to my despatch No. 7 of the 5th instant, I have the honour to report that I have received a note from M. de Ruyssenaers, informing me that the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal will meet on Tuesday next, the 8th instant, at 3 P.M. for the delivery of the Award.

I propose to return to London.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) **RONALD GRAHAM**

No. 3

Admiralty to Foreign Office.—(Received August 8.)

(Telegraphic)

Admiralty, August 7, 1900.

With reference to your letter of the 29th ultimo, I am commanded by my Commissioners of the Admiralty to transmit, for the information of the Foreign Office, copy of a telegram dated to-day from the Senior Naval Officer, Aden, to the Admiralty.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) **EVAN MACDONALD**

Inclosure in No. 43

Senior Naval Officer, Aden, to Admiralty

(Telegraphic)

"Polaris" at Aden, Aug. 7.

"Polaris" arrived.

With reference to Admiralty telegram, "Polaris" reports there is nothing in Persian Island or Kassarun about the Germans re-establishing a coaling station. Can depot, Kham Island, not changed. No German men-of-war visited Persian Island for years. About 100 tons German coal on the beach opposite coal depot, Turkish Kassarun, washed away. This was done. Buys necessary for navy.

No. 11

Memorandum to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 9)

N  
M

The Hague, August 8, 1900.

I have the honour to report that the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal met at 3 o'clock to-day, copy of which is herewith annexed, was read aloud by French and English.

The Declaration of the 10th March, 1862, in relation to the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

The Mixed Arbitration Tribunal, and the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal.

and they had

2000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

as thought it right by the Declaration of the 10th March, 1820 to  
expressly

a French Republic, to certain subjects of His Highness the Sultan  
ers authorizing them to fly the French flag, and also as to the

His Britannic Majesty Mr. Melville W. Fuller, C. J.  
United States

Professor at the Free University at Amsterdam, Member of the  
Second Chamber of the States-General

Mr. H. Lammasch, Doctor of Law, Professor at the University at Vienna,  
Member of the Upper House of the Austrian Parliament

Whereas the Cases, Counter Cases, and Arguments have been duly communicated  
to the Tribunal and

ry observations which were delivered to it by the two Parties

As to the first question—

Whereas generally speaking it belongs to every Sovereign to decide to whom he  
will accord the right to fly his flag and to prescribe the rules governing such grants,  
and whereas therefore the granting of the French flag to subjects of His Highness

Whereas nevertheless a Sovereign may be limited by Treaties in the exercise of  
and whereas the Tribunal is authorized in virtue of Article XLVIII of the

he or her Treaties which may be invoked  
of international law, and whereas the  
Powers which have acceded to the General  
Act of July, 1840, relative to the African slave  
are entitled to authorize native vessels

Whereas by Article XXVII of this Act the faculty of the Signatory Powers to  
grant their flag to native vessels has been limited for the purpose of suppressing slave

to a State signatory of this Act or not, and whereas at any  
rate France is in relation to Great Britain bound to grant her flag only under the  
conditions prescribed by this Act:

vessels which shall satisfy all the three following conditions:—

1. Their officers-out or owners must be either subjects of or persons protected by  
whose flag they claim to fly;

Inclosure in No. 44.

Final English Translation of Minutes of Arbitration Award delivered August 9, 1905.

Protocol IV.—Meeting of Tuesday, August 9, 1905.

of the Tribunal, which is as follows:—

The Tribunal of Arbitration constituted in virtue of the "Compromis" concluded  
at London on the 13th October, 1904, between Great Britain and France,

Whereas the Government of His Britannic Majesty and that of the French



they must furnish proof that they possess real estate situated in the district of the authority to whom their application is addressed, or supply a solvent security for any fines to which they may eventually become liable, such as the owners, as well as the captain of the vessel, must furnish proof that they enjoy a good reputation, and are not condemned for acts of Slave Trade.

Whereas, in default of a definition of the term "protégé" in the Brussels Conference this term must be understood in the sense which corresponds best as well to the elevated aims of the Conference and its Final Act as to principles of the law of nations as they have been expressed in Treaties existing at present, and in recognized legislation and in international practice.

Whereas, since the restriction which the term "protégé" underwent in virtue of the legislation of the Ottoman Porte of 1863, 1865, and 1868, especially of the Ottoman Law of 23 Sefer, 1280 (August 1863), implicitly accepted by the Powers who enjoy the rights of capitulations, and since the Treaty concluded between France and Morocco in 1863, to which a great number of other Powers have acceded, and which received the sanction of the Convention of Madrid of the 30th July 1864, the term "protégé" embraces in relation to States of capitulations only the following classes: (1) persons being subjects of a country which is under the protection of the Power whose protection they claim, (2) individuals corresponding to the class enumerated in the Treaties with Morocco of 1863 and 1860 and in the Ottoman Law of 1863, (3) persons who, under a special Treaty, have been recognized as "protégés" like those enumerated by Article IV of the French Muscat Convention of 1844; and (4) those individuals who can establish that they had been considered and treated as "protégés" by the Power in question before the year in which the creation of "protégés" was regulated and limited, that is to say, before the year 1863, those individuals not having lost the status they had once legitimately acquired.

Whereas that, although the Powers have *expressis verbis* resigned the exercise of the pretended right to create "protégés" in unlimited number only in relation to Turkey and Morocco, nevertheless the exercise of this pretended right has been abandoned also in relation to other Oriental States, analogy having been applied to them.

Whereas, on the other hand, the concession *de facto* made by a Power to a Christian Power, cannot be extended by analogy to a Mohammedan Power, where the circumstances are entirely dissimilar, the "protégés" of the Mohammedan Powers in Turkey being of race, nationality, and religion different from the Mohammedan subjects, whilst the inhabitants of Sur and other Muscat people who might apply for French flags are in all these respects entirely in the same condition as the other subjects of the Sultan of Muscat.

Whereas the dispositions of Article IV of the French-Muscat Treaty of 1844 apply only to persons who are *bona fide* in the service of French subjects, but not to persons who ask for ships' papers for the purpose of doing any commerce.

Whereas the fact of having granted before the ratification of the Brussels Act of the 2nd January, 1892, authorizations to fly the French flag to native vessels, and the conditions prescribed by Article XXXII of this Act was not in contradiction with any international obligation of France;

For these reasons, decides and pronounces as follows:—

1. Before the 2nd January, 1892, France was entitled to authorize the use of the French flag to vessels belonging to subjects of His Highness the Sultan of Muscat, but she was only bound by her own legislation and administrative rules.
2. Owners of dhows who before 1892 have been authorized by France to fly the French flag retain this authorization as long as France renews it to them.
3. After the 2nd January, 1892, France was not entitled to authorize the use of the French flag to vessels belonging to subjects of His Highness the Sultan of Muscat to fly the French flag, except on condition that their owners or officers had established, or should establish,

that they had been considered and treated by France as her "protégés" before the year 1863.

As to the second question:—

Whereas the legal situation of vessels flying foreign flags and of the owners of such vessels in the territorial waters of an Oriental State is determined by the general principles of jurisdiction, by the capitulations or other Treaties, and by the practice resulting therefrom;

Whereas the terms of the Treaty of Friendship and Commerce between France and the Imam of Muscat of the 17th November, 1844, are, particularly in Article III, "Nul ne pourra, sous aucun prétexte, pénétrer dans les propriétés, possessions ou occupés par des Français ou par des personnes au service des Français, ni les visiter sans le consentement de l'occupant, à moins que ce ne soit avec l'intervention du Consul de France," comprehensive of all French vessels as well as other property.

Whereas, although it cannot be denied that by admitting the right of France to grant under certain circumstances her flag to native vessels, and to have those vessels exempted from visitation by the authorities of the Sultan or in his name, slave trade is facilitated, because slave traders may easily abuse the French flag for the purpose of escaping from search, the possibility of this abuse, which can be entirely suppressed by the accession of all Powers to Article XLII of the Brussels Convention, cannot affect the decision of this case, which must only rest on juridical grounds.

Whereas according to the Articles XXXI and XLII of the Brussels Act, the grant of the flag to a native vessel is strictly limited to this vessel and its owner, and therefore not transmissible or transferable to any other person or to any other vessel, even if belonging to the same owner.

Whereas Article IV of the French Muscat Treaty of 1844 grants to those subjects of His Highness the Sultan of Muscat "qui seront au service des Français" the same protection as to the French themselves, but whereas the owners, masters, and crews of dhows authorized to fly the French flag do not belong to that class of persons, and still less do the members of their families.

Whereas the withdrawal of these persons from the sovereignty, especially from the Declaration of the 10th March, 1892, by which France and Great Britain engaged themselves reciprocally to respect the independence of this Prince;

For these reasons, decides and pronounces as follows:—

1. Dhows of Muscat authorized as aforesaid to fly the French flag are entitled in the territorial waters of Muscat to the immunity provided by the French-Muscat Treaty of the 17th November, 1844;
2. The authorization to fly the French flag cannot be transmitted or transferred to any other person or to any other dhow, even if belonging to the same owner;
3. Subjects of the Sultan of Muscat, who are owners or masters of dhows authorized to fly the French flag, or who are members of the crews of such vessels, or their families, do not enjoy in consequence of that fact any right of exemption which could exempt them from the sovereignty, especially from the jurisdiction, of His Highness the Sultan of Muscat.

Done at The Hague, in the Permanent Court of Arbitration, August 8, 1895

(signed) H. LAMMASCH  
MELVILLE W. FULLER  
A. F. DE SAVORNIN LOHMAN

The President speaks as follows:

The Award having been given, I only have to thank the Agents and Counsels of the two Parties for having assisted us by their thorough and exact exposition of the *status cause et controversie* in their respective Memoranda, and to thank the Secretaries, in the first place, the Secretary-General of the Permanent Court of Arbitration, and in the second place, the Secretaries of the Court, and also the First Secretary of the International Bureau of the Court, Jonkhoeer Roell, who by the experience he

as shown these past years at the Court of International Arbitration, will represent from now on the actual traditions of this Court.

"Our work being ended, I close the meeting."

The Secretary-General then gives M. Herbetto, Agent of the French Republic, and Mr. Ronald Graham, Agent of Great Britain, the certified copy conformable with the Award of the Tribunal destined for their respective Governments.

The meeting closed at 3:30 p.m.

Done at The Hague, August 8th, 1905

The President  
(Signed) LAMMASCH  
The Secretary-General,  
L. H. RUYSSENYER  
The Secretaries,  
GRAND & HAUTEVILLE  
ROLLÉ

French Version not printed.]

No. 45

Foreign Office to India Office.

Foreign Office, August 7, 1905.

I HAVE laid before the Marquess of Lansdowne your letter of the 20th ultimo, relating a Report from Major Cox as to the conditions on which the Sheikh of Kuwait would be ready to establish a military post on Buhyan Island in the event of Turkish Government failing to comply with the request for the withdrawal of the Turkish post.

You draw attention to the fact that Major Cox has expressed to Sheikh Mubarak his belief that the British Government will inform the Porte that they have recognized the Sheikh's claim to Buhyan, and had advised him to institute a post there.

In regard to this point Lord Lansdowne desires me to observe that, in my letter of the 22nd May last, no such announcement was at present contemplated. It was on the contrary, proposed that it should be left to Sir N. O'Connor's discretion to decide at what stage he should make such an intimation, and it is clear, from his Excellency's despatch No. 245 of the 17th April, of which copy was inclosed in my letter, that he was not in favour of any such step for some time to come.

Major Cox's Report that the Sheikh would desire to establish, not one, but three posts, two upon Buhyan, and one upon an adjacent island, that he would expect His Majesty's Government to pay for the initial cost of their establishment, and for their subsequent maintenance, and that he would desire the permanent residence of a political Agent at Kuwait, with occasional visits of a British man-of-war, no doubt is implying that His Majesty's Government would be responsible for defending him from any reprisals to which he might be exposed from the Turkish Government.

Major Cox further suggests that it might be opportune on this occasion to offer the Sheikh an annual subsidy.

You state in your letter that Mr. Brodriek assumes that the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury will be prepared to pay a moiety of the financial assistance which the Sheikh will require, and that he proposes to sanction the deputation of Major Cox to Khor Abdullah, with a view to the selection of posts, should the occasion arise.

I am to state, in reply, that it does not appear to Lord Lansdowne that immediate action in the matter is at all necessary. It would, in his opinion, be expedient that, before any decision is taken, the question should be brought before the Committee of Imperial Defence in connection with that of the eventual terminus of the Bagdad Railway, which is already under the consideration of that Committee.

I am, &c.

(Signed) T. H. SANDERSON

No. 46.

Memorandum by Mr. Fitzmaurice.—(Received August 10.)

THE India Office's despatch of the 2nd August to the Foreign Office deals with three points:—

(1.) The measures deemed necessary to prevent the "apprehended" total disappearance of the boundary pillars in the neighbourhood of Kataba;

The question of a formal notification to the Porte that the Amir of Dhala will be directed to raise the British-constructed pillars along his border to a height of 8 feet (as advised by Major Merewether in his letter of the 15th November, 1904), to clear the brush jungle around them and to keep them whitewashed and numbered according to the map; and

3.) That, pending arrangements of a permanent character, all communications between authorities on either side of the border in regard to disputes in that part of the frontier (i.e., Kataba) should be made through the channel of the Political Officer at Dhala.

It is stated that the measures suggested by Major Merewether to have taken place at a spot 3 miles inside the Amir of Dhala's border was a violation of British territory. It was subsequently ascertained that it took place at Bahd-al-Khadar or on the actual boundary-line, and that (paragraph 2 of the Government of India's letter of the 20th December, 1904, to the Secretary of State for India) the Arabs were sent on the Turkish side of the frontier, but that some of them were pursued an unknown distance across the border by the Turks sent to arrest them. Major Merewether then (in his letter of the 15th November, 1904, to the Resident at Aden) commented on the "boundary pillars," and made some suggestions (to raise them to 8 feet, &c.) with a view to preventing the "visibility of adjoining pillars" being lost.

The Boundary Commission in its No. 261 of the 26th May, 1903 (I am quoting from memory), pointed out that any boundary-line drawn across the ground in the neighbourhood of Kataba must be defective, but the measures proposed by Major Merewether cannot, I think, prevent the pursuit across the border of fugitive Arabs. Increasing the number of pillars, or heightening the existing ones built by the British will not necessarily prevent pursuing Turkish soldiers or gendarmes from overstepping the border-line.

The "visibility of adjoining pillars" seems all but unattainable. Pillars will not be visible over scrub jungle or bahul trees 12 feet or more in height, while the natural annual growth of such trees and scrub must also be taken into account. The removal of such trees and scrub is a costly and tedious process, and its removal would entail endless disputes and a tedious process of indemnifying the owners on both sides.

The distances between the pillars, as given in the Aden Resident's letter of the 18th January, 1905, to the Political Secretary to the Government of Bombay, are very much exaggerated, in one case the distance set down as 2 miles being actually less than a quarter of a mile. In a demi-official letter to the Resident of Aden, confirming my telegram to him of the 1st February, 1905, I pointed this out and gave a comparative table of the distances as reported to him and the actual distances as measured on the boundary map. This was not apparently transmitted to the Government of Bombay.

Again, the Boundary Commission being an international one, Turkish "amours" demanded that a certain proportion of the pillars should be erected by the Turkish Commission. While those built by the British Commission were constructed of cut stone and Portland cement, those built by the Turks were made of rough stone and lime, being consequently less durable. Were the Amir of Dhala to whitewash, number, and raise to 8 feet or more, only the British pillars, the "visibility of adjoining pillars, &c.," would not be secured unless the Turkish authorities could be

[1569]

M<sup>o</sup>



induced to alter the pillars built by them in a corresponding fashion, while, as mentioned above, the intervening growth would always be an obstacle.

2. A formal notification to the Turkish authorities concerning the steps which is intended the Amir of Dthala should take to maintain the pillars in repair, which, not, I fear, prove acceptable to the Porte. The Ottoman Government cannot bring itself to look upon any Arab Chief as independent. It has shown the greatest reluctance in official correspondence to apply the title of "Amir" to the Dthala Chief, whom it styles the "Sheikh of Dthala," and it would presumably show a similar reluctance to recognize his acting in an official capacity in such matters as altering, numbering, &c., the boundary pillars.

3. As regards the proposal that the Porte should give general orders to the Sub-Governor of Kataba to communicate officially with the Political Officer at Dthala in matters of border disputes, difficulties arise. I fear, to be anticipated also on this score. By accepting the Sultan's proposal to delimit the border between "the nine cantons and the Province of Taiz" instead of the working of the British Royal Commission, viz. "the nine tribes and the Ottoman dominions," His Majesty's Government tacitly admitted the friction maintained by the Sultan's Government that the "nine tribes" are "nine cantons," or subdivisions of the Ottoman Province of Taiz, and though the Porte may profess to instruct the Sub-Governor of Kataba to deal officially with the British appointed Political Officer at Dthala, it is not unlikely that such instructions may prove to be evasive, and that the Kataba Sub-Governor will limit his correspondence with the Political Officer at Dthala to informing the latter that he has forwarded his communications to Sanaa or Constantinople.

It would then seem that any unilateral action by us or the Amir of Dthala is likely to be objected to by the Turks, while any joint alteration or improvement of the existing boundary marks will involve procuring an Imperial Irade from the Sultan.

Now that the boundary has been laid down and drawn on detailed survey maps any encroachments by the Turks are out of the question, but border incidents such as the violation of, or trespass on the existing boundary, especially in cases of the pursuit of fugitive Arabs, may from time to time occur, though they may not always lead to serious questions.

When the boundary was being fixed in the neighbourhood of Kataba and the Dakkam, the British Commission succeeded in including on the British side of the line some tracts which at certain seasons of the year become marshy and are frequented by small game, as it was felt that any officers stationed at Dthala might be tempted to cross to the Turkish side of the frontier, thus leading to incidents perhaps of a serious nature. Even still, as these marshes are in close proximity to the border-line, it is not impossible that British officers at Dthala may occasionally be led to overstep the Turkish boundary in pursuing game. It may then be expedient on the whole, that minor trespasses across a boundary which is totally unlike any European frontier, should not, where possible, assume the character of international incidents but should be adjusted on the spot by unofficial friendly communication between the local British and Turkish officials. Should we adopt a rigid attitude in matters of minor trespass by the Turks, the latter may retaliate and their methods in such cases are distinctly rougher than ours.

I cannot help feeling that had the actual facts been at first correctly reported by Major Merowether and the correct distances between the pillars given, the present correspondence might not have arisen.

The foregoing remarks must I appear to be somewhat of a negative character. But the measures suggested by Major Merowether to prevent the recurrence of an incident at first incorrectly reported to him would not, I think, have the desired effect, while the consent of the Sultan to any alteration of the existing boundary, especially by the Amir of Dthala, can only be obtained by tedious negotiation and the exercise of pressure.

(Signed) G. H. FITZMAJRICE.

London, August 8, 1905.

Foreign Office to India Office.

India Office, August 3, 1905.

AM directed by the Marquess of Lansdowne to transmit herewith, for the use of the Secretary of State for India, copy of a despatch from His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople, enclosing copy of a letter which has been addressed to him by the Government of India on the subject of certain discrepancies pointed out by Captain Tandy between the descriptions and the maps signed by the Aden Boundary Commissioners. These discrepancies have been submitted, by Lord Lansdowne's direction, to Mr Fitzmaurice, and the observations of that gentleman are contained in a Memorandum of which a copy is inclosed.

The explanations made by Mr Fitzmaurice seem to show that no misunderstanding is likely to arise on account of the points brought forward by Captain Tandy.

If Mr Secretary Bradrick concurs in this view, Lord Lansdowne would propose a view of the undesirability of raising any fresh discussion with the Turks, that Mr N. O'Connor should be authorized to inform the Government of India, in reply to their letter, that there does not appear to be sufficient necessity for altering the descriptions signed by the joint Commissioners, and that the printing of the maps should be proceeded with.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) P. H. VILLIERS.

No. 47

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 12.)

WITH reference to Foreign Office letter of to-day's date, the Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr Secretary Bradrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copies of telegrams to the Viceroy, dated the 9th and 10th instant, relative to the Alex. Arbitration Award.

India Office, August 11, 1905.

Enclosure 1 in No. 47

Mr Bradrick to Government of India

(Telegraphic). P.  
M. S. AT Arbitration

India Office, August 6, 1905.

Terms of Award, as given in official English translation, are as follows:

1. Before the 2nd January, 1892, date of ratification of the General Act of Brussels Conference, France was entitled to authorize vessels belonging to subjects of Sultan of Muscat to fly French flag, only bound by her own regulations and administrative rules.

2. Owners of dhows, who have been before 1892 authorized by France to fly French flag, retain this authorization as long as France renews it to grantee.

After the 2nd January, 1892, France was not entitled to authorize vessels of Sultan of Muscat to fly French flag, except on condition that they had been considered and treated by France as her protégés before 1892.

3. Vessels authorized, as above stated, to fly French flag are entitled to fly French flag in Muscat territorial waters to inviolability provided by French Muscat Treaty of the 17th November, 1844.

The authorization to fly French flag cannot be transmitted or transferred to any other person or dhow, even if belonging to same owner.

4. Subjects of Sultan of Muscat who are the owners or masters of dhows authorized to fly French flag, or who are members of crews of such vessels, or who

...Lancaster, do not enjoy, in consequence of that fact, any privilege which could exempt them from sovereignty, especially from jurisdiction of the Sultan of Muscat.

First three paragraphs refer to question of issue of French passports. Paragraphs refer to nature of privileges claimed. (vide paragraph 2, 130, Oct. Ber. 1904). Await further telegram.

Inclosure 2 in No. 47.

Mr. H. Adcock to Government of India

pl. 2. P.

H. Adcock Award

India Office

I am directed to be furnished with your observations

(regarding to you in due), and also with

which should be taken at Mr

with the Award. Before any act or is taken it may be desirable

on may

taken last is about previous reference to the Terms of Award may, however, be

N. 15

Memorandum communicated to Muscat Pasha August 12, 1905.

INQUIRIES have been made with regard to the guard-house or other building which the Turkish Ambassador in his conversations with Lord Lansdowne on 11th and 15th March represented as having been erected by British naval officers on the mainland opposite the Muscat district of the Island of Bahrain.

The reports which His Majesty's Government have received from their officials on the Persian Gulf show that no such building has been erected by British naval officers.

The only building operations in the vicinity of Bahrain with which British naval officers have recently been connected are, the construction of a temporary rifle range and stop-butt on Sitra Island, one of the Bahrain group, east of and adjacent to the channel, on which Muscat is situated, the erection of a goned house for the accommodation of the military guard of the British Agent at Bahrain itself, and the placing of a beacon on the sea near Muscat Island for the guidance of navigators.

None of these can in any way be represented as an infringement of the rights of the Ottoman Government, and if the information received by the Sublime Porte had no other foundation than an incorrect version of these incidents, the complaint made by the Turkish Ambassador must be considered as belonging to that category of protests against the British authorities by the Turkish local officials on the shores of the Persian Gulf to which Lord Lansdowne referred in the protest which he made to Muscat Pasha on the 1st March last.

Foreign Office, August 11.

No. 10

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor

(N)

Sir,

Foreign Office

WITH reference to Mr. Townley's despatch No. 90 of the 7th February last, I transmit to your Excellency herewith copy of a letter from the India Office, a telegram from the Viceroy reporting that the notorious pirate Ahmad-shah-S has again been committing piracies in the Persian Gulf.

I request that your Excellency will make a further representation to the Porte with a view to effective steps being taken to suppress such acts in those waters.

I am, Sir,

(Signed) LANSDOWNE.

No. 50.

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor

(N)

Sir,

Foreign Office, August 12, 1905

I HAVE received your despatch No. 130, Confidential, of the 31st June last, reporting a conversation with the Mushir-ed Dowleh respecting the status of Koweit Arabs in Persia.

I am directed to inform you that I have written to his Excellency on this occasion.

I am, Sir,

(Signed) LANSDOWNE.

No. 51

Foreign Office to India Office

Sir,

Foreign Office, August 12, 1905

I AM directed by the Marquess of Lansdowne to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2nd instant enclosing copy of a telegram from the Viceroy on the subject of the flag-staffs on the Maklah Isthmus and Sheep and Telegraph Islands.

I am to state that Lord Lansdowne concurs in the terms of the telegram which Mr. Secretary Brodrick proposes to address to the Viceroy in reply.

I am, Sir,

(Signed) F. H. VILLIERS.

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 14)

(No. 51)

My Lord,

Constantinople, August 7, 1905.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 104 of the 31st ultimo, I have the honour to forward to your Lordship herewith copies of despatches from His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah, reporting on the death of the Grand Sherref.

I have, Sir,

(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR.

Inclosure 1 in No. 52

Consul Jeddah to Sir N. O'Connor

(No. 70)

Sir,

Jeddah, July 20, 1905

I HAVE the honour to report that His Highness the Grand Sherref passed away at Tayif on the 17th instant. The serious nature of the malady from which His Highness was unfortunately suffering has prepared public opinion for the shock of his loss, and was commented upon by Vice-Consul Dr. S. M. Hussein so long ago as October 1903, and again in January of this year.

I had thoughts of hoisting the flag half-mast over this Consulate as a sign of respectful mourning, but as this is not the received practice in Turkey this was not done. A letter of condolence, however, was written to Anwar Raik's son at Tayif. His Highness' obsequies were performed with proper ceremonies and gun-fire at Tayif, and the military band has ceased playing here for three days. Ali Pasha, the deceased's nephew, is for the time being carrying on the work of the "Amanat-i-Ilele," for which he is fully qualified, while it is rumoured and quite credible that Sherref Abdol will come at once from the capital and rule at Mecca in place of his deceased brother.



understandings with Ali Pasha  
of the questions which  
should be made for the

DEVEY

(Confidential)

Jeddah, July 26, 1905

Since the Grand Sherref has been reposing in the tomb for just a week  
"morning" one cannot but note that the passing of the  
the more vigorous hands of Ali Pasha temporarily has  
with relief by the majority of Hijaz Notables and merchants and Bedouin

Possibly the physical state of coma and apathy into which his Highness' health  
had fallen had affected his mental and moral capacities. In any case his methods of  
rule had been growing markedly more and more unpopular of late.

It is reported that his successor (as yet apparent but not designate), Sherref  
health. Should he proceed here it is to be hoped he  
will accord a large measure of confidence and good will to his nephew, and be ready  
to take advantage of his practical experience and high influence in this country.  
However, in view of the shocking catastrophe at last Friday's Selamluk and the  
consequent changes now being made among important functionaries at the

certainly in good hands. For the present all good things are expected from Ali Pasha  
sense of justice and established consideration. The proverb as to "new brooms" does  
not apply here, and much wrong has already been righted.

His Highness Awan Hafik is reported to have left 40,000L. in cash, and his estate  
has been divided to his family. Some of his Mecca protégés have received rather summary  
treatment; notably one Bo Effendi has been discarded from favour, and is likely to  
be deprived of the use of houses and property rather lavishly bestowed on him by the  
Sherref.

(Signed) G. P. DEVEY

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received August 14.)

(No. 517.)

My Lord,

Therapia, August 8, 1905

WITH reference to my despatch No. 313 of the 26th ultimo, I have the honour  
to transmit herewith to your Lordship copies of two despatches which I have received  
from His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hodeida, reporting the arrival of transports and  
troops for service in the Yemen, and the commencement of a general forward move-  
ment against Sana'a by the Turkish troops under the supreme command of Marshal  
Ahmed Fezi Pasha, operating simultaneously from Menakha, Taiz, and Kuf

against the insurgents appears to be in obedience to  
people following on the failure of Mahmoud Nedim Bey  
to arrive at an amicable settlement with the Imam.

On the 2nd instant an official communiqué in the Constantinople press published the  
text of two telegrams addressed by the President of the Yemen Reform Commission  
to the Minister of War, relative to the progress of the operations.

In the first, Marshal Fezi Pasha reports that on the 19th July three battalions  
troops with two guns marched from Menakha and reached a place near Beit  
el-Medhi in the neighbourhood of Berj Zahid, where the rebels were in force.

On nearing Beit-el-Medhi the necessary dispositions for an attack were made,

mounts on the pass. He then descended the  
Hodeida) on the Sanfour road and  
Said Hashim, the representative of the rebel leader, as the post  
revel and fortified, his camp being only two hours' a start, and the place conse-  
quently the key to Mecca.  
At 9 o'clock on the morning of the 30th ultimo, three Turkish battalions with two  
other four

led were  
His Majesty's  
ating on the progress made by the Yemen expeditionary force, and  
much of the best troops to be found  
ents at Sana and in the district of Lake Van.

(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR

Inclosure 1 in No. 1.

Vice-Consul Richardson to Consul Devey

(No. 39.)

Sir,

Hodeida, July 17, 1905

PREPARATIONS have been made during the past four weeks ever since the  
arrival of Marshal Ahmed Fezi Pasha to commence a general advance from three  
directions against the insurgents.

I now learn that a forward movement is intended from Menakha, Taiz, and Kuf  
simultaneously within the course of the next few days.

Quantity of provisions and munitions have been landed from Turkish  
transports since the beginning of the month. Four batteries of field artillery have  
been landed from Constantinople, and about 300 gunners to reinforce the depleted

force, with some 1,000 transport mules imported from Constantinople and Awa  
Minor, have been dispatched.

Two battalions have also been moved to Taiz and two others transported to Cumfuda  
from Asyr.

The Taiz force will be commanded by Brigadier-General Ghazi Pasha and the  
division operating from Kuf by Isha Yusuf Pasha, both co-operating in the advance  
against Sana'a from Menakha with the main army under Marshal Ahmed Fezi  
Pasha.

Both Ahmed Fezi and Marshal Shavir Pasha transferred their respective head-  
quarters to Menakha some three weeks ago on the return to that place from Sana'a of  
the Adjutant of the Governor General Mahmoud Nedim Bey.

The latter, it will be remembered, proceeded to Sana'a by Imperial Irade during the  
first week of last month to conduct negotiations with the Imam with a view to effecting  
some amicable understanding.

It would appear from information that has reached this town from merchants  
residing at Sana'a that Mahmoud Bey did not personally see the Imam. He was met  
by the latter's representative and escorted to Raudan,  
where the Imam was residing at the time.

Written communications passed between the Imam and Mahmoud Bey, the Agent  
of the Ottoman Government, for nearly a week, but without result.

Although the Imam was personally in favour of a peaceful settlement with the  
Turks, his advisers and the principal Sherikhs opposed the same.

I learn from a reliable source that the Imam was at last only willing to return  
Sana'a to the Sultan and desist from further rebellion on the cession to him of the towns  
of Damar, Yem, Haje, Tawela, Kaukaban, and Amran. There were other minor

demands, but of course the Ottoman Government could under no circumstances admit of any agreement on the above.

It is generally believed that orders have now arrived from Constantinople for a general movement against the insurgents.

During the past five or six weeks reports have constantly been received here of the assassination of more than twenty of the principal Sheikhs of the various districts in which the Arab rebellion has been organized.

Among the chieftains disposed of may be mentioned the Sheikhs of Mefaq, Sak-el Khamis, Aniz, Bilad Bustan, and Hashid.

There are rumours current that some of the above have been poisoned and others killed by orders of the Imam; whereas certain other sources attribute the assassinations to the influence of secret intrigues of Ahmed Feroz Pasha.

Two or three petty encounters with the insurgents near Hodeida and Menakha have reported recently, in which the Albanian troops successfully repelled the attacks of rebel tribesmen, and in some instances punished them severely.

The authorities officially confirm the news that arrived from Cusfuda on the 1st instant of the Arabs of Asyr having attacked the Turkish garrison at Abha, but were totally unsuccessful; two brothers of the Sheikh of the province being taken prisoners. The loss on the Turkish side was 2 officers and 25 men killed, whereas it is stated that the Arabs suffered very severely, having over 100 killed and many more were taken prisoners.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) G. A. RICHARDSON

Inclosure 2 in N.

Lieut.-Colonel Richardson to Consul Dorey

(No. 51.)  
Sir,

Hodeida, July 17, 1905

I HAVE the honour to report the following movements of Turkish troops and transports in this district recently:

1. The steam-ship "Alexandria," flying the Ottoman flag, arrived on the 8th instant from Constantinople and landed 300 mules and a large quantity of military stores and provisions. She also disembarked 300 recruits taken aboard at Smyrna. Jawa Bakhtiy Pasha, newly appointed to command at Hodeida, arrived by the above vessel that sailed yesterday for Trebizond.

The hired transport, "Nijni Novgorod," of the Russian Volunteer fleet, anchored in the roadstead on the 11th. She brought 7,600 cases of ammunition from Constantinople, 267 mules and horses, and 265 artillery drafts. Jawa Ishak Pasha, Sanita Inspector of the Yemen, came here by the "Novgorod" that also sailed yesterday for Odessa.

3. The transport "Hodeida," of the Mahsons Company, arrived on the 15th from Constantinople conveying 432 recruits and 499 mules for this district. The vessel proceeded to Camaran for disinfection, and is expected to return to-morrow when she will land her troops. 8,000 packages of stores and provisions were disembarked from the vessel.

4. The transport "Kaplan," whose arrival here has already been reported, took on board 760 redifs from Hodeida for Asyr and sailed for Cusfuda on the 14th instant.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) G. A. RICHARDSON

Inclosure 3 in No. 53.

Lieutenant-Colonel Munnell to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 48.)  
Sir,

Therapia, August 5, 1905

I HAVE the honour to report as follows on the progress of events in Yemen:—

The Mushir Ahmed Feroz Pasha, who has now been given civil as well as military control in the country, finding it necessary to move forward from Menakha, has reached

Mefhak, some 10 miles along the Sana'a road, having driven the insurgents out of rocky fortified positions at Beit-el-Mehdi and Ijz.

Now, however, the Mushir thinks it advisable to await the arrival of reinforcements on their way from Smyrna and Alexandretta before moving further towards Sana'a.

Meanwhile, Shakir Pasha has moved to Saufur, south-west of Menakha, in the Wadi Sabatin, a broad valley up which he hopes to open another route towards Sana'a, parallel to the Menakha line.

The column from Taiz, under Liwa Hiza Pasha, has made a successful advance to Yem, on the road to Sana'a, but also has been obliged to await reinforcements.

Throughout the insurgents have fought with great determination and courage.

The Turkish troops experience very great difficulty as regards food supplies, as after the famine of last year little remains in the country, while the rebels have drawn off all they can towards Sana'a.

Provisions have, therefore, to be forwarded from Constantinople, Smyrna, and Messina to Hodeida by the Government transports.

Of the expected reinforcements, eight battalions of the Tokat Road Brigade have just left Smyrna on two transports hired from the Paquet Company of Marseilles.

Sixteen in all, four of the Kharput Redif Brigade have reached Alexandretta and will embark on Government transports.

The 63rd Hamidiye Cavalry Regiment from Sivas under its Chief, Mihr Ali, has, after several orders and counter-orders, been mobilized, received a special banner, and message from the Sultan and has moved by Kairi to Alexandretta, which will be reached in a few days.

Any of these troops being mobilized as a regiment or of proceeding on active service.

The four Hamidiye regiments under Hussein Pasha, of Patnotz, near Lake Van, have also been mobilized to the number of 600, and these will go to Erzurum for a course of training, after which they can go on to Yemen if required.

(Signed) F. H. MANNSELL, Lieutenant-Colonel

Foreign Office to Treasury

Foreign Office, August 11, 1905

WITH reference to the letters from the Department of the 31st July, 1905, and of February, 1905, and to your letters of the 1st March and the 18th July last,

Marquess of Lansdowne to state, for the information of the Lord Treasurer, that the Mixed Arbitration Tribunal met at The Hague on the 8th instant and delivered its Award on the 8th instant.

Arbitrators chosen to officiate on this occasion, under the Anglo-German Compromise of the 15th October, 1904, were Mr. Melville W. Fuller, Chief Justice

of the Interior at The Hague and Member of the Dutch Second Chamber, as French

Professor Lammasch, Member of the Austrian Upper Chamber, selected

Italy to act as Empire.

His Majesty's Government and the French Government decided that, in accordance with recent precedents, each of the three Arbitrators should receive for their services

fee of 1,000l., and that Messrs. Lammasch and Fuller should be reimbursed for any expenses of locomotion or maintenance incurred by them in connection with the arbitration. In the case of M. Lohman, who resides at The Hague, this latter provision

was unnecessary.

Messrs. Lammasch and Fuller were accordingly requested to furnish an estimate of their expenses. It was not, however, possible for them to do so until a decision had been reached as to how long the proceedings would last, and this point was only

definitely determined during their final discussions. It was not, therefore, until Monday instant, that Lord Lansdowne received information from the British Agent

that Mr. Fuller estimated his expenses at 500l., and M. Lammasch placed his at 200l.

(1563)

U



It is customary that the Arbitrators' fees, &c., should be paid in to the Secretary-General of the International Tribunal on the morning of the day on which the Award is delivered. This was fixed for the following afternoon, that of the 8th and it therefore became necessary to authorize His Majesty's Ministers by telegraph to pay into Court the British share of the sum involved. Authority was telegraphed to the French Minister at The Hague by the French Government and the total sum necessary, viz 3,000L, was paid over to the Court on 8th August by the British and French Ministers acting simultaneously. A receipt was

... 250 fr (1,850L)  
... I grant their  
... pop lence which pass  
... granted to Mr. W. F. Craies for prep  
... further remuneration for his services  
... ad Argument. These documents were pre  
... the satisfaction of His Majesty's Government. Lord Lansdowne  
... consideration of the Lords Commissioners, that Mr Craies sh  
... re fee of 100 guineas for 1  
... for preparing the Argument. I am to request that, if their Lordships agree, m  
... may be given to the payment to Mr. Craies of the sum of 250 guineas at as o  
... late as possible

(Signed) F. H. VILLIERS

No. 55

Office to India Office

I Laid before the Marquess of Lansdowne the proposed visit of the Resident at Aden to the question of the traffic in arms in the Red Sea. The Lordship is of opinion that the course prop desirable, more especially in view of the desire for co-operation and consultation with the British with Copies of recent correspondence with the French Embassy at Paris are enclosed for Mr Secretary Brodrick. His Majesty's Ambassador at Paris are enclosed for Mr Secretary Brodrick. from which it will be seen that Sir F. B with his Italian colleague in making renewed representations to the on the subject, the reply of the French Government to the the with January not being complete.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) F. H. VILLIERS

No. 56.

India Office to Foreign Office. (Received August 10.)

I forward his compliments to the Under Affairs, and, by direction of Mr Secretary Brodrick, of the Secretary of State, copy of inclosures in a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 10th ult relative to the report that the Sheikh of Halwan had declared himself a Turkish subject.

India Office, August 15, 1905.

No. 55.

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 269.)  
Sir,

Foreign Office, August 15, 1905

WITH reference to your Excellency's despatch No. 438 of the 27th June, relative to the construction of more easily distinguishable marks of the Aden boundary line near Katala, I have to inform you that I consulted Mr. Fitzmaurice with regard to the proposal, the adoption of which was recommended by your Excellency that the Amir of Dhala should raise the height of those pillars along his frontier and require it

I transmit herewith a copy of a letter to the India Office, embodying the views of Mr Fitzmaurice, together with a copy of the letter in reply which has been received from that Department.\*

Your Excellency will observe that Mr Fitzmaurice fears that any interference on the part of the Amir with the pillars will immediately result in his being accused by the Turks of tampering with the boundary.

The Government of India, however, adhere to their view, and would insist on the annual repair of the pillars being made the duty of the Amir of Dhala, in order that the benefit of the demarcation just concluded should not be lost. They suggest that the suggestion submitted by Mr. Fitzmaurice might be obviated by a formal notification to the Turkish authorities. I have to request that your Excellency will furnish me with your views with regard to the suggested notification, and to the manner in which it should be made.

A copy of a Memorandum by Mr. Fitzmaurice on the last subject, forwarded from the India Office is also inclosed

I am, &c.  
(Signed) LANSDOWNE.

\* Nos. 81 and 33.  
† No. 15.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice".

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

2. The second part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

3. The third part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

4. The fourth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

5. The fifth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

6. The sixth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

7. The seventh part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

8. The eighth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

9. The ninth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

10. The tenth part of the document is a copy of the letter from the President to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a copy of the original letter, and is signed by the President.

(Signed) C. SOMERS COCKS



No. 57

*India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 16.)*

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the First Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrie, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of inclosures a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 13th, relative to the Aden delimitation.

It is requested that these papers, which are sent in original, may be returned to this Office as soon as done with.

*India Office, August 15 1905.*

Inclosure 1 in No. 57

*Government of Bombay to Government of India.*

Sir, *Bombay Castle, June 19, 1905.*  
WITH reference to this Department telegram, Major-General Mason's letter, dated the 7th May, 1905, to the Aden Boundary Delimitation Commission, I am directed to forward herewith copy of a letter, dated the 4th June, 1905, from the Political Resident, Aden, with accompaniments, relative to the question whether Juban is within the sphere of the British Government, together with copy of Government letter, dated the 16th June, 1905, on the subject.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) S. W. EDGELL  
Chief Secretary to Government

Inclosure 2 in No. 57

*Political Resident, Aden, to Government of Bombay.*

Sir, *Aden Residency, June 4, 1905.*  
IN forwarding herewith notes of an interview held by Captain Jacob on the 19th May, 1905, with one of the Sheikhs of Juban, I have the honour to, at the same time, forward copy of a Memorandum on the subject submitted to me by my Acting First Assistant on the 29th May, and to state that I propose, with the approval of Government, to direct Captain Jacob to restrict further action, for the present, to such further inquiry as may not be incompatible with an attitude of general acceptance for the time being of the recent settlement.

2. It is possible that the outcome of present hostilities in Yemen may so affect the general situation on this portion of the boundary as to facilitate the adjustment which the Political Officer at Dthala already reports as desirable. And this possibility which I think should be carefully borne in mind.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) H. M. MASON, Major-General

Inclosure 3 in No. 57.

*Conversation between Captain Jacob and Ahmad Babakar 'Abdul Ilab on May 19, 1905.*

"The Sheikhs of Juban, came in to interview me. He said that Juban was our sphere, so far as I know, and that it was possible he might at the time of delimitation pass definitely within the Turkish side of the border."

He replied he hoped this would not be so; he said that he would like to be incorporated into our sphere and now believed that he would incur the displeasure

of the Turks for having come to Dthala; that formerly there was a Turkish official in Juban who was murdered by the Arabs; that he had formerly accepted the invitation of the British Government and gone down to Aden in company with other Sheikhs of Juban, and he was now between the devil and the deep sea.

Of Na'wa, he said it was really independent, but at one time belonged to Juban, and might even now be claimed as a part and parcel of the latter, though the present relations were strained by reason of a blood-feud.

Na'wa, and Dthubiyani were interdependent ("Tila").

I gave him 20 rupees and 8 rupees to his companions. He asked for a pass for Aden. He will probably ask for presents. I have pointed out to him that the less his commerce with us at this juncture the better for him in respect of his apprehensions above stated.

If Juban passes into Turkish hands and Na'wa and Dthubiyani remain on our side of the border, there will be, I prophesy, constant friction on the border.

Rubesten is clearly under Sultan Kalban-bin-Omar. Na'wa and Dthubiyani are claimed by the Nakihs of Mansatta. Their claim may have little foundation; but the sympathies of the last two are with us, and all including Juban, are

"Hafala" of Upper Yafa and vice versa, i.e., if any of the latter wish for armed assistance, the others will give it.

(Signed) H. F. JACOB, Captain,  
Political Officer, Dthala.

*Dthala, May 10, 1905.*

With compliments to First Assistant Resident, Aden, for the information of the Resident.

(Signed) H. F. JACOB, Captain,  
Political Officer, Dthala

*Dthala, May 19, 1905.*

Inclosure 4 in No. 57

*Memorandum respecting interview between Captain Jacob and Ahmad Babakar 'Abdul Ilab, one of the Sheikhs of Juban, on May 19, 1905.*

IN the Marquess of Lansdowne's despatch to Mr. Townley, No. 41, dated the 7th February, 1905 (Foreign Office, "Arabia, February 7, Section 1"), it is stated "that His Majesty's Government consider that the districts known as Dthubiani, Juban, Na'wa, and Rubesten are dependencies of the Yaffai, one of the nine British cantons, but that they reserve a final decision upon this point for the Commission which will delimitate the frontier from Lakmat-es-Shub north east to the desert in virtue of the Imperial Trade of the 13th February, 1903."

On the 15th March, 1905, the Secretary of State telegraphed to the Viceroy, that "the Veli will be sent instructions to the effect that delimitation of Yaffai frontier is to be proceeded with in due course by the Boundary Commission. Rubesten and other places, so far as they may be proved to be Yaffai will be covered by this arrangement."

On the 20th March, 1905, Mr. Fitzmaurice telegraphed to His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople:—

"It would seem that Juban is a stumbling-block of settlement of boundary north-east, in consequence of its being the administrative centre of a canton."

And on the 4th April, 1905, he telegraphed:—

"If I forego claim to Juban, Turkish Commissioner can give up claims to the other three places."

2. On the 6th April, 1905, his Excellency the Governor of Bombay telegraphed to the Viceroy expressing concurrence with the suggested compromise to cede Juban in consideration of cession of the other three places. In his telegram to the Foreign Office of the 4th April, His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople also expressed approval of the compromise as, from the information in his possession, seeming advantageous.

(1569)

P

On the 15th April the compromise was accepted by the V.  
18th April Mr Fitzmaurice was informed that His Majesty's Govern-  
arrangement in regard to Sudan frontier, and that he n  
which he accordingly did on the 20th April.

Turning to the *procès-verbal* of the 20th April, it appears  
"the documents and other proofs furnished"

Old Rubenstein, Na

the Anaki plural Awak  
the Yaffa distr

Mustaph

18th April

for very careful  
consideration.

6. From this it appears that in obtaining the recognition by the Turks that  
Rubenstein, Naawa, and Dhabani are Yaffa, we are obtaining more than the status  
of 1901, which was the accepted basis of demand in 1901. A

at though, according to 11

10 of the 26th October, 1901. R

been left to the Turk

between Yaffa connection with the other places was

7. From this it would appear, *prima facie*, in our  
question, unless and until we are so armed with further

of an irrefutable nature as to unquestionably establish our claims in both Juban  
Naawa and Dhabani, in face of the facts that in 1901 and these places were

Turkish, and that in the Residency records of 1894 Rubenstein, Naawa, and Jub

promised that it would be judicious to,

reopening of the question unless circum

in position render it imperative to do so

8. From the notes of Captain Jacob's interview

Sheikh Ahmed Babiker Abdul Raza, of Juban, it appears that last 5 - Khadanta (an  
formerly there was a Turkish official in Juban, and further expresses fear that he wd  
near the displeasure of the Turks by his recent visit to Douda. He is thus in a  
under Turkish suzerainty.

He wishes, however, to be incorporated in our sphere of influence, and considers  
that he has already taken a step in that direction by his visit to Aden.

He states that Juban, Naawa, and Dhabani are united, or according to the  
Arabic expression, "Hulafa," the signification of which is that there is a sort of  
offensive and defensive alliance between them.

Captain Jacob prophesies friction if Juban passes into Turkish hands while Naawa  
and Dhabani remain with us.

9. Turning to the Residency records there is practically nothing on the su-  
between 1894, when, as Mr. Fitzmaurice says, Rubenstein, Naawa, and Juban were  
actually mentioned as being within Turkish limits, and the autumn of 1903, when  
there is a brief semi-official correspondence between General Matland and Colonel  
Wahab concerning certain letters addressed to two of the leading members of the  
family of Sultan Khatun of Upper Yaffa, which were somehow intercepted before  
arrival at their destination. These letters purported to be from Mahomed Ali, of Juban, and a certain Sheikh Saleh-bin-Sa.

expressed sentiments distinctly hostile to us, congratulating the two Sheikhs Saleh-  
bin-Sa and Haidam-bin Mahomed of Upper Yaffa on their successful resistance

at the only  
in Order date

Chief of the district of  
Turkish Government. In 1901  
I very little was known

obtained and given them

if or to make them my

in and later

Juban in the month of July  
has visitors with every it was to

included in the Aden Protector

that it was part of Yaffa

it is

Shedias visited the Political Officer

ta with which they were not

if more, which they did not, but

not early

with Naawa, Rubenstein, and Dhab

relations with them

13. The main question, in fact, would appear to be whether Juban is, as  
Yaffa, that its cession to the Turks  
or will seriously affect our prestige *vis-à-vis* our tribesmen

it it would appear that it is not; and the existence of a friendly alliance

of Naawa and Dhabani need not of

into the merits of the case and the

ring and adducing such stronger evidence in support of our claims to both Juban,  
Naawa, and Dhabani than is at present

16. Until the friction which Captain Jacob prophesies actually  
of seriously affecting our prestige, it would appear possibly injudicious to  
at present take any action which might disturb the settlement recently arrived at, and  
which is one which under all circumstances may fairly be termed more favourable  
than we had any good cause to anticipate.

(Signed)

P. DE B. HANCOCK, Captain  
Acting First Assistant Resident, Aden.

May 27, 1905

Submitted to the Political Resident

(Signed)

P. DE B. HANCOCK, Captain,  
Acting First Assistant Resident, Aden.

May 29, 1905.



Sir,  
I AM Lest  
I have the honor to  
acknowledge the receipt of  
your proposal  
the present to

S. W. EDGERLEY,

Sir,  
HIS MAJESTY'S  
to me the Award  
between Great B  
the settlement  
shows to fly

His Majesty's Government have received the Award of the  
Tribunal, and it gives me much pleasure to take this opportunity of conveying  
the sincere acknowledgments of His Majesty's Government for the valuable  
services rendered by you, both by the care and skill with which you prepared the  
Counter-Case, and Arrangement presented to the Tribunal on their behalf, and by the  
advice and assistance which you were able to afford to the British Agent during the  
actual proceedings before the Tribunal.

I am, &c.

LANSDOWNE

Sir,

W. F. Craies

Sir,  
Foreign Office, August 16, 1885  
HIS MAJESTY'S Agent, in a despatch of which copy is inclosed, has forwarded  
to me the Award of the Arbitral Tribunal constituted in virtue of the Agreement  
between Great Britain and France signed in London on the 11th of July 1884, for  
the settlement of questions which had arisen regarding the boundary between the  
two countries, and shows to fly the French flag, &c.

His Majesty's Government have received the Award of the  
Tribunal, and it gives me much pleasure to take this opportunity of conveying  
you the sincere acknowledgments of His Majesty's Government for the valuable  
services rendered by you, both by the care and skill with which you prepared the  
Counter-Case, and Arrangement presented to the Tribunal on their behalf, and by the  
advice and assistance which you were able to afford to the British Agent during the  
actual proceedings before the Tribunal.

I am, &c.

(Signed) LANSDOWNE

No. 60.

*India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 21)*

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs and, by him, to Mr. Secretary Bradrick. He wishes to convey, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a telegram from the Viceroy of India dated 17th inst. regarding the proposed establishment of a post on Bubyau Island.

Copies have been sent to the Defence Committee.

*India Office, August 19, 1905.*

*Inclosure in No. 60.*

*Mr. Bradrick to the Government of India*

(Telegraphic) P.

*August 17, 1905*

PLEASE refer to your telegram of the 26th June.

Question of Bubyau Island will be considered by Defence Committee in connection with the question of extension of the Baghdad Railway. Meanwhile His Majesty's Government are not prepared to take any immediate action in the matter of Bubyau, and they wish to leave to the discretion of His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople the question of opportunity for approaching the Porte on the subject.



28

No. 60

*The Marquess of Lansdowne**Foreign Office, August 22, 1905*

Excelsiency,  
I HAVE received from the British Agent copy of the Award which closed the  
and France of the 13th October, 1904, to decide the ques-  
the right of certain Muscat dhows to fly the French flag  
sure in conveying to your Excellency  
Majesty's Government for your consent to officiate as Arbitrator  
guished ability with which you discharged the duties of the high off-  
I to you. His Majesty's Government fully appreciate the careful at-  
red by the Tribunal upon the statements submitted to it, as also the dignity and  
impartiality with which its proceedings were conducted.

I have &amp;c

LANSDOWNE

No. 61

*London note to Mr. I*

(No. 572)

Sir,

*Foreign Office*

Mr. French Minister called here to-day and made  
effect relative to the question of the Muscat dhow  
A certain delay was necessary in order to examine the bearing  
n Tribunal and the situation created thereby for France  
Acting Consul at Muscat had therefore been instructed to inform th  
his Majesty's Consul that the *status quo* would be  
M. Lacombe, who, furnished with the necessary and  
September steamer. Action had been taken several  
The French Government had considered that the mea-  
naturally carried with it the temporary removal of the navigation licence  
granted, and the French Acting Consul at Muscat had consequently been authorized to  
prolong the papers of all the French protégés.  
Moreover, the above measure would not in any way prevent the Arbitral Award  
being eventually applied in its entirety, but the inquiry which was indispensable  
order to rectify the list of French dhow-owners, in conformity with the pro-  
and down, must necessarily entail a certain delay, and it did not appear  
to permit the dhow  
wholly irregular and with

LANSDOWNE

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne (Re)*

My Lord

*Therapia, August 19, 1905*

MY despatch No. 478 of the 11th July.

allowing from Consul at Jeddah, dated the 18th July

Serious trouble in Assir has much diminished lately,  
and reports needless to send more soldiers.

I have &amp;c

(Signed)

N. R. O'CONNOR

\* Mr. M. &amp; B. W. Fisher and M. &amp; F. de Savornin Loman, secretaries

No. 62

*Foreign Office to India Office*

No.

not to visit herewith to be  
the Secretary's  
in the Master  
Arbitration

w

quarterly renewals of their  
resent the Arbitral Award from being

and Lansdowne is of opinion that the request of the French G

them to carry out the investigation  
however desirable to be  
start in which the French  
d, if Mr. Brodieck sees no objec-

French pro-

However, there is no reason to delay the application of the  
Award. Lord Lansdowne proposes therefore, if Mr. Brodieck  
inform M. Geoffroy, in agreeing to the French proposal, that, as the  
go down that French flagholders who are subjects of the Sultan and their  
of families do not enjoy any right of extraterritoriality, it is understood that they  
we now come, as a matter of course, under His Highness' sovereignty and juris-

H. VILLIERS.

*The Marquess of Lansdowne, (Received August 28.)*

(No. 572)

My Lord,

*Therapia, August 22, 1905.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 347 of the 8th instant, I have the honour to  
transmit herewith copy of a despatch addressed on the 1st instant by His Majesty's  
Vice-Consul at Hodeida to His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah, reporting further progress  
made by the Turkish expeditionary force in the Yemen under the command of Marshal  
Ahmed Feiz Pasha in its advance upon Sana'a.

After the occupation of Beitel Madhi, reported in my above-mentioned despatch,  
the Commander-in-chief appears to have successfully stormed and occupied the im-  
portant positions at Beit-Maddan and Metaq (Mishak), and has made the latter place the base  
for his further operations. He has been joined there by the force operating under the  
command of Shaker Pasha along the Sana'a route south of Menakha.

Another force has been landed near Sahf to advance via Zaidia and Kuf with the  
force, and then to open up the communications with Sana'a from the

The Third Division, under the command of Brigadier-General Ghaleb Pasha  
advancing from Taiz, is reported to have reached Yerin, which is within three days  
forced marching of the town of Sana'a.

These successes have been confirmed by the telegrams from Marshal Feiz Pasha  
which have been published in the Turkish newspapers. The Commander-in-chief  
announces that, after storming the rebel stronghold of Mishak, he had captured the  
position of Souk-el-Khamis in the mountains, ten hours distant from Sana'a, and also

\* No. 64.

of strategic importance have been completely routed with

the Sherahs of the B... and Menakha have been routed at the li...

100000

Inclosure in No. 67.

Lieut. Colonel Richardson to Consul Deret

(No. 91.)

Sir

Muscat, August 1, 1905

THE intended advance of the Turkish army in the district began on the 15th July, when eight battalions of troops occupied without resistance a village called Re... west of Menakha.

On the following day news having reached Marshal Ahmed... force at Djebel Labah, that commands the south of Menakha, from which direction it is proposed to dispatch a field army, the Commander-in-chief with four battalions descended and proceeded in the

was bombarded from the latter place, and which was afterwards taken by Albanian troops. In the fighting that ensued the Arabs lost over a hundred men, and

by various caravans.

It stated that the Commander-in-chief issued instructions previously to all his officers, that the spoils of every place captured should go to the troops without

Abdullah Feizi Pasha a few days later moved next to his, and a further opposition: the rebels fled in great haste, leaving behind an 87 centim. gun that they had

Meina, is about 20 miles north-east of Menakha, if one follows

operations, and contains the bulk of the advancing army.

In the meantime Shukir Pasha, with six battalions operating from Hageila the Santur route that has been Menakha and along past Dje... supplies.

Eight battalions of Riza and Trebizond redifs have been transported by sea landed near Salif, under the command of Liva Yusuf Pasha, who is reputed to be through Zaidia and join the formerly besieged garrison at Kof, under another Brigadier General, Yusuf Pasha by name.

The latter has been entrusted with the operations in that district that have as their objective the re-taking of Hageila, and subsequently an advance towards Sana'a and the opening up of communications with that city from the north.

The third division, that consists of twelve battalions of infantry under Brigadier General Ghaleb Pasha, and which has with it eight guns, advancing from reported, reached Yerin, that is only three days' hard marching from Salif.

Marchal Feizi Pasha some little time ago, and, after tendering his respects to Ottoman authority, promised to assist him against the Imam.

Should the above intelligence prove to be correct, the Turks will not find much opposition in the north.

61

As it is, the Arabs are more or less disunited and estranged from the Imam, who has so far prevented them from looting Sana'a or interfering with caravans en route.

In short, one might safely presume that the back of the prevailing rebellion has already been broken.

There has been a good deal of friction for some time past between the Vali, Tewfik Bey, and the Commander of the Yemen forces, Marshal Feizi Pasha.

A telegram arrived yesterday from Constantinople dismissing Tewfik Bey and appointing Feizi Pasha as Acting Vali.

The Adjoint of the Governor-General, Mahmud Nedim Bey, has also been superseded by Mahomed Pasha, civil member of the Reform Commission recently sent out to the Yemen, and a protégé of Feizi Pasha.

I am, &c.

(Signed) G. A. RICHARDSON

No. 68.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 29.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of inclosures in a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 20th ultimo, relative to the question of a special Koweit flag.

India Office, August 28, 1905.

Inclosure in No. 68.

Major Cox to the Government of India.

(Confidential)

Bushire, July 2, 1905.

WITH reference to Foreign Department telegram, dated the 4th March last, I have the honour to inform you that I have fully discussed the question of his adopting a new flag with Sheikh Mubarek, and had it not been for Captain Knox' illness and departure on leave, I should have hoped ere this to have submitted detailed proposals for the consideration of Government.

I have prepared flag designs and draft articles for the Nakhodas of Koweit ships, and will show them to Sheikh Mubarek, and discuss them with him at my next visit. I shall then be in a position to furnish a full report, which may be expected at an early date.

No. 69.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 30.)

Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a telegram to the Viceroy, dated the 17th August, relative to the proposed visit of the Resident at Aden to Jibuti and Berbera.

Foreign Office, August 29, 1905.



## Inclosure in No. 69

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India

(Telegraphic.) P.

India Office, August 17, 1905.

YOUR telegram of the 2nd instant.

His Majesty's Government approve of Resident at Aden visiting Jibuti and Berbera, as proposed.

No. 70.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received August 30.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a telegram to the Viceroy, dated the 16th August, relative to the Musandim flagstaffs.

India Office, August 20, 1905.

## Inclosure in No. 70.

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India.

(Telegraphic.) P.

India Office, August 16, 1905.

MUSANDIM flagstaffs: Your telegram of the 22nd ultimo.

As regards flagstaffs on Sheep Island and the isthmus, I have no objection to the course proposed, but, before any communication is made to Sultan with regard to Telegraph Island, further consideration of the question will be required.

No. 71

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Londonderry.—(Received August 31.)

(No. 118.)

(Telegraphic.) P.

Constantinople, August 31, 1905.

TURKISH expedition in the Yemen.

I received a telegram yesterday from the British Vice-Consul at Hodeida, reporting the entry of the Turkish forces into Sana'a without any opposition on the 29th instant.

No. 72

Admiralty to Foreign Office.—(Received September 1.)

(Confidential.)

Sir,

Admiralty, August 30, 1905.

I AM commanded by my Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty to transmit herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a report from the Officer Commanding His Majesty's ship "Perseus," at Aden, dated the 7th instant, respecting the reported German coal-gathering station on the Farasan Island, and the replacement of the buoy at Kamaran Bay.

I am, &amp;c.

(Signed) C. L. THOMAS.

## Inclosure in No. 72.

Commander Hill to Senior Naval Officer, Aden

His Majesty's ship "Perseus" Aden  
August 7, 1905.

Sir,

IN accordance with Admiralty telegram No. 10, I have the honour to inform you that I left Aden at 4 P.M. on Sunday, the 30th July. It was my intention to have visited Kamaran first, but, shortly after leaving, speed had to be reduced on account of a leaky tube in the port condenser, and as I could not therefore make Kamaran by daylight on Monday, I decided to proceed to Farasan Island to save delay.

2. I anchored in Tibia Bay, off the coal store in Kumb Island, at 5 P.M. on Tuesday, the 1st August.

The following information was obtained through a Soudanese seedie boy who had recently visited Farasan Island, and I think this information is entirely reliable:—  
The state of the island is exactly the same as it was when the Germans left the island, and there is no knowledge whatever of their returning to it. There are no Germans on the island, and no German

Kumb Island is completed as far as the walls; part of the corrugated iron for roofing and the wooden sashes for doorways is heaped up inside. I should calculate that the store could hold roughly 40,000 tons of coal, but before it could be made use of, a jetty of at least 1,000 feet would have to be erected on account of the large coral reef. There is a guard of ten Turkish soldiers at Kumb Island, and I am informed there are guards on all the other islands. These men have been on Kumb Island since the Germans left it, and know nothing about the coal store being the island made into a coaling station. They also informed me that the remainder of the corrugated iron and wooden sashes for the coal store was lost in a ship wrecked in the Red Sea.

3. I was greatly surprised at the size of Tibia Bay, which would form a splendid anchorage, there being no reefs at all on the eastern side.

4. However, that there would be great difficulty in coaling abreast of the coal store at Kumb Island during a strong north-westerly wind, as a heavy sea gets up during the afternoon, which would make it difficult for lighters to lie alongside.

5. Leaving Tibia Bay at 8 A.M. next day, I arrived at Kamaran at 6.30 P.M. the same evening. Next morning I visited the Commanding Officer of the Turkish man-of-war, who informed me that the quarantine doctor was in charge of all the buoys, as the Representative of the International Commission at Constantinople. I visited this official, and he wished the buoy at the entrance of the harbour replaced, and I therefore agreed to carry out this work, as I consider that the buoy was of great aid to navigation, owing to there being no proper leading marks and the objects for taking bearings, i.e., the telegraph butte and the beacon being very indistinct, especially the beacon, when approaching from seaward.

It appears that the "Diana" had laid out the buoy with three anchors, using 1½-inch cables. The buoy was attached to these by two fathoms of 1½-inch chain, the cables being attached to the swivel piece at the end, and it was the three joining shackles attached to the swivel piece which had parted.

7. I left Kamaran at daylight on 3rd August, and anchored in the proximity of the reef, the authorities supplying me with a diver for diving operations. Although, owing to the great difficulty the divers experienced in working on the bottom, owing to the strong tide.

8. I arranged that next day as many pearl divers as possible should be sent out at slack water, and with their assistance all three cables were found within an hour, and the buoy was shackled on in the afternoon.

9. The "Diana" had suggested that the moorings should be lengthened by 6 metres, and I concur in this and would have carried it out, but there was no cable available. The "Diana" also suggested that all buoys and moorings should be examined at least once a year, but it appears that no attempt has been made to do this, although, with

use of the pearl divers, all moorings and buoys could easily be  
 In fact, the buoy could have been at once replaced with the aid of these  
 D. The doctor experienced  
 suffer from the Turkish naval arm  
 at the time  
 with labour &c

At 10.30 A.M. at 6.30 A.M.  
 at 15 A.M. the next day

I have, &c.  
 (Signed) MARCUS R. HILL

No. 73

India Office to Foreign Office — (Received September 2.)

Sir, India Office, August 31, 1905.  
 WITH reference to Consul Crow's despatch to Sir N. O'Connor of the 10th June  
 last, on the subject of the anti-British feelings of the Turkish authorities at  
 Bussorah and the probable causes thereof, I am directed by Mr Secretary Brodrick  
 to inclose, for Lord Lansdowne's information, a copy of papers received from the  
 Government of India on the subject of the hostile Arabic newspapers disseminated in  
 the Turkish dominions.

I am also to request that you will draw Lord Lansdowne's attention to  
 Mr. Somers Cook's letter of the 3rd August last, remarking upon certain statements  
 in Mr Crow's despatch.

I am, &c.  
 (Signed) HORACE WALPOLE

Inclosure 1 in No. 73.

Major Cox to Government of India.

(Confidential.)

Bussorah, June 18, 1905.

I HAVE the honour to inclose, for the information of the Government of India,  
 a copy of a paraphrase of a telegram forwarded to me by His Britannic Majesty's  
 Consul, Bussorah, regarding hostile Arabic newspapers printed in Cairo.

Inclosure 2 in No. 73.

Consul Crow to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 37)

(1 graphic.) P.

Bussorah, June 10, 1905.

THE following Arabic newspapers printed in Cairo disseminate mendacious  
 accounts of our action in the Gulf and North-East Arabia, accusing us of interference  
 and intrigue:—

Mustafa Hamdi Pasha's paper, the "Alim Islami,"  
 The "Liwa"—same owner  
 Sheikh Al Yusuf's paper, the "Muayad."

Turkish official opinion is thereby influenced against us at Constantinople, where  
 these papers are sent.

I hope to send copies.

Our interests here are greatly prejudiced by them.

Inclosure 3 in No. 73

Major Newmarch to Government of India

(Confidential)

Sir,

Bagdad, June 23, 1905.

I HAVE the honour to submit, for the information of the Government of India,  
 the accompanying copy of a despatch which I have received from His Majesty's  
 Consul at Bussorah regarding the anti-British sentiments unfortunately now prevailing  
 among the Turkish authorities in these parts.

If the Cairo newspapers referred to by Mr. Crow are such as he describes, it  
 is not surprising that they should be prohibited from passing through  
 the medium of the British Post Office. The Turkish Government prohibits the entry  
 into the Ottoman dominions of numerous papers which are comparatively  
 and it seems to me that we might well ask the Turkish Government to include such  
 papers as the foregoing in the prohibition. Without such authority I do not think  
 I should be justified in intercepting them in the Bagdad Post Office.

By this mail the British Post Office here has received—

Five copies of "El Moaid" addressed to Agha Fattahulla, son of Abdul Rahim,  
 a merchant at Neje

Six copies of "El Moaid" addressed to Ferman Firma, the Governor of  
 Kermanshah

Seven copies of the "Liwa" addressed to Suliman Pasha Al Zeibag, Brigadier-  
 General at Bagdad.

I am making inquiries about Agha Fattahulla of Neje. Ferman Firma is  
 well known to me as a weak and dissolute Persian who trades on his relationship to  
 the present Shah, and who had when in Bagdad slightly anti-English tendencies,  
 though he formerly had the reputation of being pro-English.

Suliman Pasha Al Zeibag: There is a family here known as Al Zeibag, but no  
 member of it is called Suliman Pasha, and there is a Liwa or Brigadier-  
 General named Suliman Pasha, but he is not Al Zeibag.

The entry of the newspaper "El Moaid" into Ottoman territory was  
 prohibited by the Turkish authorities in 1901, and as I cannot find that the  
 prohibition has been withdrawn I am retaining the copies of it lately received here.  
 For other paper, the "Liwa," I am forwarding to the addressee.

6. I am sending a copy of this letter to His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople  
 for his information.

I have, &c.  
 (Signed) L. S. NEWMARCH, Major,  
 Political Resident in Turkish Arabia, and His Britannic  
 Majesty's Consul-General, Bagdad

Inclosure 4 in No. 73

Consul Crow to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 34. Confidential.)

Sir,

Bussorah, June 10, 1905.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Excellency's despatch  
 of the 10th May concerning the anti-British feeling of the present Vali. It is  
 speaking, the only Ottoman official of Turkish origin  
 present members of the Ad  
 It is noted that two Val  
 should have both been appointed

that Turkish ill-feeling  
 and encouraged by some external influence  
 hostile to ourselves. I am informed that the anti-British faction in Egypt are active  
 in disseminating, through the Arab press, mendacious accounts of our operations in  
 the Persian Gulf and North-Eastern Arabia.

The principal newspapers employed for this purpose are the "Alim Islami" and  
 [1569]



the "Lawa," belonging to Mustafa Kamil Pasha, and the "Miaed," belonging to Sheikh Al Yusuf. All these are published in Cairo. I have not yet been able to secure copies of the articles referred to, but if they are as represented they must do us incalculable mischief. I have given directions for all numbers sent through the British Post Office here to be brought to me. It seems to be part of the Turkish intelligence system to collect all such information at the Central Department at Constantinople and distribute it thence for the benefit of provincial newspapers in Turkey. I am told there are special agencies employed for this purpose in the provinces to collect news and disseminate it in the Turkish press as propaganda.

The hostile feeling has grown more acute recently in proportion as our activity in the Persian Gulf and Mesopotamia has increased. There has of late years been much coming and going of British officials. The Viceroy of India, accompanied by a British Minister and attended by a numerous retinue, has displayed to wondering eyes some of the pomp and magnificence of his high office. The Viceregal party visited Um Kaar and were visible to the garrison of the Turkish fort at that place. The Vah's secret agents reported the ovation prepared for them at Um Kaar, and a certain insecurity regarding the object of their visit lent colour to exaggerated accounts of it which lost nothing in the telling.

Vessels like the "Renown" and the "Amphitrite," remarkable for their heavy tonnage and formidable appearance, have permebrated the waters of the Gulf and approached Turkish shores.

His Majesty's ships take soundings, uninvited, in territorial waters, and land parties for purposes of observation in remote corners. The "Investigator," of the Royal Indian Marine, lately surveyed the Koweit Harbour for several months, and the half-side was dotted with their flags in conspicuous places.

The Admiral of the East India Station has recently made a tour of the Persian Gulf, and his appearance in force at its head gives reasonable cause, in Turkish eyes, for the accounts of a naval demonstration.

The Foreign Office at Simla last year dispatched a party of officials to travel through the land in order to obtain information for a "Persian Gulf Gazetteer," and this, apparently, without intimating their intention to the Turkish authorities, and I now hear they contemplate sending another official to Bussorah or Zobeir or Suk-i-Shaykh to complete their inquiries regarding Jabal Shammar, unaccredited and unauthorised with any permission from the Turkish Government.

I think our activity, supplemented by the slanderous newspaper work to which I have referred, is quite enough to arouse Turkish suspicion and prejudice the Vah against us. Our omission to ask leave for our survey and gazetteer excursions may doubtless annoy him. It is unfortunate, but I do not think it is unavoidable. Our intentions are presumably good, but our action is, I think, open to misconstruction.

I have, &c.

(Signed) F. B. CROW.

Inclosure 5 in No. 73.

Major Newmarch to Government of India.

(Confidential.)

Sir,

Bagdad, July 10, 1905.

WITH reference to paragraph 4 of my letter, dated the 23rd June, 1905, regarding certain anti British newspapers published at Cairo and circulated in Mesopotamia, I have the honour to report further as follows:—

2. From inquiries made through the Vice-Consul at Karbala it seems that Agha Pathulla, son of Abdul Rahim, who lives at Nejed, is a Persian subject and a native of Bushire. He keeps a tobacco shop in the bazaar at Nejed, and some say that he is much addicted to reading newspapers, while others declare him to be illiterate.

3. The following three Cairo papers are said to have a moderate circulation in Nejed, viz:—

Al Akhya:  
Al Itham  
"Al Moaid."

parcel containing about fifteen "Al Moaid" went to Nejed last week by one Mullah Ali, a Turkish subject. "Al Moaid" and the "Lawa" are not known either at Karbala or

5. The following papers circulate in Karbala:—

From Constantinople:

"Al Moaid"  
"Al Itham"  
"Al Moaid"  
"Thuraya."

From Beyrout:

"Ikdam Beyrouti."

From India:

"Habul Mateen."

From Bagdad:

"Al Zourna."

I have, &c.

L. S. NEWMARCH, Major,  
Political Resident in Turkish Arabia, and His Britannic  
Majesty's Consul-General, Bagdad.

Inclosure 6 in No. 73.

Government of India to Major Newmarch.

Simla, August 3, 1905.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 23rd June, 1905, forwarding copy of a despatch received from His Britannic Majesty's Consul at Bussorah to Sir N. O'Connor's address, reporting on the various causes to which, in his opinion, are due the anti-British feelings at present prevailing among the Turkish authorities in North East Arabia and the Persian Gulf.

in his recital of the evidences of British activity in the Gulf, and it is advisable, therefore, that the real facts should be pointed out to him.

3. In regard to the statement that His Majesty's ships take soundings uninvited in territorial waters, and land parties for purposes of observation in remote corners, e.g., the survey by the Royal Indian Mail steam-ship "Investigator" of the Koweit Harbour, it may be pointed out that the surveys conducted by the "Investigator" had the prior approval of His Majesty's Government, who apprised His Britannic Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople of what was under contemplation, and received a reply from Sir N. O'Connor, dated the 19th September, 1904, to the effect that he saw no objection to the proposed survey of the "Investigator."

4. The second point in Consul Crow's despatch which calls for remarks is the statement that the tour of the "Persian Gulf Gazetteer" party was undertaken without previous intimation to the Turkish authorities. This statement is not correct, as the Government of India obtained, both from His Majesty's Government and from the Turkish Government, through His Britannic Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople, permission for the party to journey in such parts of Arabia as fell within the Turkish zone. The only objections raised by the Porte were to the party visiting El Hass and Nejed, and both visits were accordingly abandoned, a fact of which the Turkish Ambassador at London was duly informed by the Foreign Office on the 1st March, 1905. It will be seen, therefore, that the original programme of the "Gazetteer" party was considerably curtailed, in order to avoid giving offence to the Turks.

5. Finally, I am to say that the rumour which Mr. Crow has heard of the intention of the Government of India to send another official to Bussorah or Zobeir or

Suk-is-Shiyukh, to complete the inquiries for the "Persian Gulf Gazetteer," has no foundation.

6. A copy of this communication should be forwarded for the information of His Majesty's Consul at Bussorah.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) C. SOMERSET COCKS,  
Assistant Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 74.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 6.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a letter to the Viceroy, dated the 30th ultimo, relative to the proposed coal depot at K.

India Office, September 1.

Inlosure in No. 74.

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India

(Telegraphic.) P

India Office, August 30, 1905.

PROPOSED coal depot at Koweit. Your telegram of the 18th ultimo. Imperial Trade has been issued by Sultan, continuing to British Companies which have hitherto enjoyed them coaling privileges at Bussorah. In these circumstances no action is necessary, but O'Connor has been furnished with a copy of India Office letter of the 2nd instant.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 8.)

India Office, September 6, 1905.

I AM directed by Mr. Secretary Brodrick to acknowledge Mr. Villiers' letter of the 25th ultimo, reporting the proposal of the French Government with reference to the recent Award of the Arbitration Tribunal respecting the issue of the French flag to M. Laronce.

I am, I think, in Mr. Brodrick's view, of Lord Lansdowne's view that the proposal of the French Government to maintain the *status quo* until M. Laronce's return is not unreasonable in the circumstances, and he concurs with his Lordship that it may be accepted.

We cannot grant to the French protégés of temporary renewals of their licences. It will be within Lord Lansdowne's recollection that Major Grey reported on the 10th June last that the French Vice-Consul had collected all the old French flags at Sûr from their holders and given out new ones in exchange. Mr. Brodrick is, however, satisfied with the French Minister's assurance that this measure will not in any way prevent the Arbitral Award, which had not been delivered at the time it was taken, from being eventually applied.

I am further to say that Mr. Brodrick concurs in Lord Lansdowne's proposed statement to M. Geoffray with reference to the position of the French flagholders in regard to exterritoriality.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) HORACE WALPOLE

No. 75.

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 11.)

(No. 609.)

My Lord,

Therapia, September 5, 1905.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 544 of the 7th ultimo, I have the honour to transmit herewith copy of a despatch which I have received from His Majesty's Consul at Jeddah, commenting on the delay which has occurred in the appointment of a new Grand Sherref in the room of the late Emir of Mecca, Aum-ur-Rafik.

Mr. Devey informs me that the Vice-Consul at Jeddah, who is well acquainted with both candidates for the Sherrefate, is strongly in favour of the appointment of Ali Pasha, who is at present acting as Grand Sherref.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. B. O'CONNOR

Inlosure in No. 76.

Consul Devey to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 85.)

Sir,

Jeddah, August 18, 1905.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 77, I think I should remark that the delay in appointing a new Grand Sherref is creating some surprise here.

Aum-ur-Rafik's brother Abdullah (Abd el-Ilah) is said to stand first, according to the opinion of the local authorities.

It is said that the house of Mutallib could be made without much opposition and sedition. Haider, now at Constantinople, would be the claimant.

His Majesty the Sultan is doubtless well advised in not making a hasty decision and selecting in a matter of so much moment, not to Arabs and Turks only, but to the whole Moslem world. People here consider the matter from one point of view only, viz., the safe quiet and good order of the province as connected with the free ingress of pilgrims. A considerable party would therefore favour Ali Pasha's immediate nomination, but others again distrust his influence and strength should he attain to the local arbitrary power of Emir of Mecca. Already, a week or two ago, he substituted Sherref Fittin, his son-in-law, as Sherref-Kaimakam at Mecca in place of Sherref Abdul-Mejid, who has held this office for four years past, and given satisfaction.

Rumours have been busily circulated, first, that the newly appointed Sherref had actually left Constantinople, with extraordinary honours paid him by the Sultan; then that the Vali of Hedjaz would consent to no other appointment than that of Ali Pasha, now governor of the Sherrefate, and was prepared to resign if that were not accorded. Finally, there has been a report published in some French newspaper that Abdullah, on being called to the Awanat-i-Jehlé, had objected to the presence of Ahmed Rafik Pasha as Vali, and made his Excellency's recall a condition precedent to going to Mecca. The last is probably no less inaccurate than the two above.

If Ramadan coincides this year nearly with November, and I suppose the new Grand Sherref to be at Mecca before the fast.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) G. P. DEVEY

No. 77.

Sir F. Bertie to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 18.)

(No. 37. Africa.)

My Lord,

Paris, September 15, 1905.

I HAVE the honour to inclose copy of a note which I have received from M. Rouvier in reply to a representation made to him on the 15th February last.

[1569]



regarding the traffic in arms at Jibuti, in accordance with the instructions contained in your Lordship's despatches Nos. 9 and 23, Africa, of the 17th January and 13th February respectively.

Your Lordship will observe that the Governor of Jibuti has reported that no case of smuggling has been detected by his subordinates, and that the French Government consequently do not consider it necessary to change the existing Regulations.

I should be obliged if your Lordship would inform me whether, in view of the attitude adopted by the French Government in this matter, I am to take any further action on the instructions contained in your Lordship's despatch No. 91, Africa, of the 24th July, which, as directed, I communicated to the Italian Ambassador, who has so far sent no reply.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) FRANCIS BERTIE

Inclosure in No. 77.

M. Rouvier to Sir P. Bertie

M. l'Ambassadeur, Paris, le 13 Septembre, 1905.  
Je communiquant à mon prédécesseur, sous le titre de "Rapport sur la situation des affaires de la Côte Française des Somalis", copie des actes constitutifs de l'accord intervenu en vertu duquel le Gouvernement de Sa Majesté Britannique et l'Italie pour assurer la répression de la circulation des armes dans la zone prohibée reconnue par l'Acte de Bruxelles, votre Excellence ayant bien voulu exprimer le désir qu'une enquête fût ouverte sur des informations relatives à la vigilance des autorités Françaises pour faire de Djibouti un centre de distribution clandestine d'armes.

M. Delebas n'avait pas manqué, dès cette époque, de me faire part de ces renseignements qu'il a reçus à ce sujet du Gouverneur de la Côte Française des Somalis.

Il résulte du rapport de ce haut fonctionnaire que la surveillance active des autorités locales n'a constaté aucun fait de contrebande à Djibouti.

En vue, néanmoins, de prévenir tout abus, le Gouverneur de la Côte des Somalis applique sévèrement l'Arrêté, en date du 29 Mai, 1902, exigeant des marchands d'armes un cautionnement de 20,000 fr., ainsi que l'Arrêté du 10 Juin, 1904, obligeant les boutres porteurs d'armes à passer à Obock, et les négociants de la Colonie qui se livrent à ce genre de commerce à être prévenus individuellement que les autorités seraient impitoyables si des faits de contrebande sur la Côte d'Afrique leur étaient signalés.

Dans ces conditions, il ne semble pas qu'il y ait lieu d'édicter des prescriptions nouvelles pour la police côtière.

Le Gouvernement de la République ne sera pas moins empressé à réprimer les actes précis de fraude qui parviendraient à sa connaissance.

Agréé, &c.  
(Signé) ROUVIER.

No. 78.

Memorandum for M. Geoffroy, dated September 18, 1905.

ON the 22nd ultimo the French Minister made a communication regarding the situation created by the recent Muscat Arbitration Award and the procedure which the French Government proposed to follow in carrying it into execution. The proposals of the French Government have received careful consideration and appear to His Majesty's Government to be acceptable in the circumstances of the case.

His Majesty's Government agree to the maintenance of the *status quo* at Muscat pending the arrival of M. Larance, who will shortly return to his post furnished with the necessary instructions from the French Government. They observe that the French Acting Consul at Muscat has been authorised to renew the temporary navigation licences of the French protégés, but they take note of the assurance that

this measure will not in any way prevent the Arbitral Award being eventually applied in its entirety.

On the other hand, it appears to His Majesty's Government that the maintenance of the *status quo* cannot affect the immediate coming into operation of the final clause of the Award, which lays down that "subjects of the Sultan of Muscat, who are owners or masters of dhows authorized to fly the French flag, or who are members of the crews of such vessels, or who belong to their families, do not enjoy in consequence of that fact any right of extraterritoriality, which could exempt them from the sovereignty, especially from the jurisdiction, of His Highness the Sultan of Muscat." His Majesty's Government take it as understood that all such persons have now come as a matter of course, under His Highness' sovereignty and jurisdiction.

Foreign Office, September 18, 1905.

No. 79.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 20.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a telegram to the Viceroy, dated the 5th September, relative to the Aden boundary.

India Office, September 19, 1905.

Inclosure in No. 79.

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India.

(Telegraphic.) P.

September 5, 1905.

ADEN boundary. Your telegram of the 23rd July last, in which you state that it is very important that duty of keeping in repair boundary pillars along the Katiba frontier should be carried out by Dilala Amir. In his opinion, notification of this arrangement should be deferred until objection is raised by Turkish authorities; and then made, not by the Political Agent to Katiba authorities, but by Embassy to Porte.

No. 80.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 20.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a telegram to the Viceroy, dated the 5th September, relative to the Muscat Arbitration Award.

India Office, September 19, 1905.

Inclosure in No. 80.

Mr. Brodrick to Government of India.

(Telegraphic.) P.

September 5, 1905.

MUSCAT Award.

The French Government request that, pending the return of Larance, the *status quo*, including renewal of licences previously granted, should be maintained. Larance leaves next month with necessary instructions. His Majesty's Government will inform French Minister, in intimating to him acceptance of this proposal, that it is understood that, in accordance with the final clause of the Award, all Omani holders, their crews and families, have now come under Sultan's jurisdiction and sovereignty.



No. 81.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 23.)*

(No. 181.)

(Telegraphic.) P.

RED SEA piracy case.

Constantinople, September 23, 1905.

With reference to your Lordship's telegram No. 176 of the 21st instant, I have the honour to report the dispatch of the following telegram to-day to the Vice-Consul at Hodeida, as I presume instructions have been issued to the Commanding Officer of His Majesty's ship "Fox" to proceed to that port:—

"Orders have been issued to His Majesty's ship 'Fox' to proceed to Hodeida, and instructions sent to Commanding Officer to confer with you on arrival.

"There will not be, I presume, any difficulty about pecuniary compensation, but unless local authorities immediately destroy pirate boats, this had better be done by His Majesty's ship.

"I will not press the point of the capture of the pirates, as you consider the authorities are really unable to effect it at present, but in regard to the two other points, you should accept no excuse or delay.

"Please let me know when ship arrives."

No. 82.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 25.)*

(No. 636.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, September 18, 1905.

With reference to my telegram No. 118 of the 31st ultimo, I have the honour to forward to your Lordship herewith copy of a despatch from His Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hodeida, reporting on the Turkish advance in the Yemen, and the reoccupation of Sana'a by the Imperial troops.

I have, &amp;c.

(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR.

Inclosure in No. 82.

*Vice-Consul Richardson to Consul Devey.*

(No. 108.)

Sir,

Hodeida, August 30, 1905.

NEWS arrived here last evening that the Turkish troops had entered Sana'a the same morning unopposed. I believe that Marshal Ahmed Fezi Pasha, who will reach Sana'a personally to-morrow, does not intend to convey the intelligence to Constantinople before the 1st proximo, which will be the anniversary of the Sultan's accession.

The nature of the operations conducted against the rebels since the occupation of Meftaq at the latter end of July has neither been important or arduous.

The chief difficulty throughout the campaign has been a want of transport, especially in the mountains; but this has been met to a great extent by large consignments of mules arriving from Constantinople and Asia Minor, and partly by the purchase of camels from the natives by the military authorities, who have been obliged to pay exorbitant prices, and also by obtaining them on hire by payment in advance.

Various accounts of the fighting that has taken place between the Turkish troops and the insurgents during the advance have reached this town, but have for the most part proved incorrect and contradictory.

Special versions of the operations have been issued by the authorities officially for home consumption.

Suk-el-Khamis was reached on or about the 12th instant after some desultory fighting. About 1,000 Arabs, under the command of Syeed Ahmed-bin-Kasim, the Saif-el-Khilafat, the principal lieutenant of the Imam's forces, that were in possession of the above town, retired to the neighbouring villages on Fezi Pasha's approach, and on the use of artillery against them.

In the meantime, Liwa Syeed Pasha was deputed, with 2,500 men and four guns, to recapture the district of Irrh, that lies to the north-east of Menakha, and prevent an attack on the flank of the main army from that direction. This was accomplished with but little fighting.

Ten battalions of infantry and twelve guns, comprising the main force under Marshal Fezi Pasha, now garrisoned Suk-el-Khamis, while three other battalions and two guns advanced towards Buay.

The latter encountered some of the rebels en route, and slight fighting was reported from the villages of Gamlan and Beit-el-Salam, north of Khamis.

There was a strong force of the insurgents that had taken up a position at a place called Jahilia, which the vanguard defeated after several hours' severe fighting.

On the 17th instant Fezi Pasha reached Buay. Here the Sheikhs and tribesmen of Beni Matar came in and submitted, bringing in supplies for the troops, and promising to facilitate their advance.

A few shots were exchanged next day en route to Metneh (Senam Pasha) at a small village called Yazzal, situated 6 miles to the north of Buay, and near the usual caravan route, the rebels fleeing.

Reinforcements from Hujella and Menakha were now brought up to hold Suk-el-Khamis and Buay, and to secure the lines of communication.

About the 20th instant three battalions, under the command of Colonel Ibrahim Bey, engaged 1,500 of the insurgents, under the leadership of a powerful Sheikh, Nadir Makkoth, at Beit-el-Ma'din, 5 miles north-east of Buay. The latter suffered heavily in the battle that took place, in which the Sheikh was killed. Two guns were recaptured from the insurgents, many of whom were taken prisoners. The loss on the Turkish side is not known.

Marshal Fezi Pasha next occupied Metneh (Senam Pasha), and caused telegraphic communication to be established between Menakha and the latter place.

The subsequent operations were unimportant, the troops advancing in several directions and encircling Sana'a without opposition.

On the 27th instant Jebel Nakum, to the east of Sana'a, a powerful fortress that commands the city, and then held by fifty of the Imam's soldiers, was taken by stratagem by the Sheikhs of Beni Matar and 1,000 of their followers, who had pledged themselves to Marshal Fezi to do so.

There was nothing now to prevent the troops entering the deserted capital, which they did on the 28th, Fezi Pasha and the main army being expected to arrive a day or so later.

It would appear that the Imam and his advisers had betaken themselves to the mountains north of Sana'a several days prior to the advent of the Turks.

The events concluding with the occupation of Sana'a may be considered as closing the first phase of the operations of the Turkish army in the direction of reconquest of the Yemen and pacification of the province.

The division advancing from Ta'iz has been inactive since the capture of Yezim from the rebels. However, its task will not be a difficult one now, in view of the fact that the tribesmen are tired of the revolt, and are daily deserting the Imam's cause.

The Ottoman Government is still pushing on the dispatch of reinforcements to this district, over 10,000 men with transport being landed at Hodeida, Lohela, Geeman, and Cumfada since the beginning of the month.

It is proposed to bring 6,000 Circassian and Kurdish cavalry, that will be utilized in crushing the Zerebeck Arabs, who for the past fifty years have defied Ottoman authority in the Tehama up to the very gates of Hodeida, and have repeatedly held up and plundered caravans traversing their territory.

I have, &amp;c.

(Signed) G. A. RICHARDSON.

No. 83.

*Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 25.)*

(No. 637.)

My Lord,

Therapia, September 18, 1905.

I HAVE the honour to report to your Lordship that I took occasion during an interview with the Minister for Foreign Affairs on the 14th instant to remind his

[1569]

U



Excellency that, so far as I was aware, no effect had yet been given to my representations against the maintenance of a Turkish military post on Bubian Island, which His Majesty's Government regarded as a disturbance of the *status quo* previously existing, and as an encroachment on the territorial rights of the Sheikh of Kuwait, to whom the island belonged.

I said that it was my duty to call his attention again to this matter, and to request that the guard-house be abolished, and I added that I would inform your Lordship that I had done so.

My object in making this representation was to forestall the objections that may be raised by the Porte when Major Knox returns to resume his duties as Resident at Kuwait, which I understand he will do next month, and also to show that His Majesty's Government have not altered their opinion as to the status and ownership of the island.

The Minister listened to my remarks without comment, but it would be misleading to conclude from this that either his Excellency or the Ottoman Government recognize the Sheikh's claim in this respect.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) N. R. O'CONNOR.

No. 84.

Foreign Office to India Office.

Sir,  
I AM directed by the Marquess of Lansdowne to state, for the information of the Secretary of State for India, that the French Minister called to-day at this Office, and stated that M. Larouze, French Consul at Muscat, who had just left for his post, had been instructed by the French Government to continue to cultivate the most friendly relations with his British colleague, and to co-operate loyally with him for the settlement of any difficulties which might arise in Oman.

The French Government had no doubt that in the existing circumstances Major Grey would share these feelings, and they would be glad to learn that instructions in this sense had been sent to him by His Majesty's Government.

Lord Lansdowne would suggest that Major Grey should be instructed as desired by the French Government.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) T. H. SANDERSON.

No. 85.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 26.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of a demi-official letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 22nd August, and inclosure, relative to the recent visit to Sur of the French Vice-Consul at Muscat.

India Office, September 25, 1905.

Inclosure 1 in No. 85.

Government of India to India Office.

Dear Mr. Ritchie,  
I SEND you a copy of a demi-official letter which has been addressed to Major Grey with reference to a passage which occurs at the end of paragraph 3 of his official letter to me of the 17th ultimo on the subject of the recent visit to Sur of the French Vice-Consul at Muscat.

The official letter accompanies my weekly letter of the 24th instant.

Yours sincerely,  
(Signed) S. M. FRASER.

Inclosure 2 in No. 85.

Government of India to Major Grey.

Dear Grey,  
Simla, August 22, 1905.  
WITH reference to your last official report, dated the 17th instant, on the subject of the French Vice-Consul's visit to Sur, and the latter's attempt to borrow His Highness the Sultan's steamer for the purpose, I am desired to inform you that the Government of India doubt the wisdom of your action as reported in the concluding portion of paragraph 3 of your letter. I am to remind you of the warning which was communicated to you in our telegram of the 27th March last, and, therefore, to suggest the advisability of exercising great circumspection in interference, without specific orders, in questions between the Sultan and the French Consul.

Yours sincerely,  
(Signed) S. M. FRASER.

No. 86.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 26.)

THE Under-Secretary of State for India presents his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and, by direction of Mr. Secretary Brodrick, forwards herewith, for the information of the Secretary of State, copy of inclosure in a letter from the Foreign Secretary to the Government of India, dated the 24th August, relative to the recent visit to Sur of the French Vice-Consul at Muscat.

India Office, September 25, 1905.

Inclosure in No. 86.

Major Grey to Government of India.

(Confidential.)  
Sir,  
Muscat, July 17, 1905.  
IN continuation of my letter, dated the 12th June, I have the honour to make a final Report upon the circumstances connected with the French Vice-Consul's recent attempt to borrow His Highness the Sultan's steamer.  
2. As reported in my telegram of the 2nd instant, my colleague returned from Sur in a dhow on the 30th ultimo with his wife. I found upon their return that they would not receive me when I called upon them privately, and on the occasion of my official visit of congratulation on the 14th instant M. Billecoq at once introduced the subject to which this correspondence refers, and spoke as if I was entirely to blame for the discomfort to which his wife had been put in her return journey from Sur. I asked why they had not returned in the "Lapwing," and it is mainly on account of my colleague's reply to this and my subsequent remarks that I make this Report. He said that they could not make use of an English vessel while this arbitration case was pending. I observed that this was the very reason why I considered it improper that he should have attempted to borrow the "Nar-ul-Bahar," and that I could see no reason why a passage in the "Lapwing" should not have been accepted. M. Billecoq's reply to this was that the Sultan had nothing to do with the Arbitration Case. We agreed that this was the point upon which we were not entirely in accord, and it was decided that the incident should be closed between us. A reconciliation was effected accordingly.

3. I have no information as to how matters stand exactly with regard to the assembling of The Hague Tribunal in connection with this Arbitration Case, but it is possible, if time allows, that the French may represent to the Tribunal that His Highness the Sultan's refusal to send his steamer to Sur was the result of pressure put upon him by me. I take this opportunity, therefore, of assuring the Government of India that the action taken by me in regard to this matter was limited to the conveyance to His Highness of the advice contained in their telegram, dated the 2nd May last, and a representation from myself that neglect to follow that advice might be attended with dire results in connection—and only in connection—with the



increase of French interference and intrigue in his dominions, resulting from the decision of the Tribunal being unfavourable to himself.

A copy of this letter has been forwarded to the Political Resident in the Persian Gulf, Bushire.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) W. G. GREY, Major,  
Officiating Political Agent and His Britannic  
Majesty's Consul, Muscat.

No. 47.

Sir N. O'Connor to the Marquess of Lansdowne.—(Received September 28.)

(No. 134.)

(Telegraphic.) P.

Constantinople, September 28, 1905.

HIS Majesty's Vice-Consul at Hodeidah reports with regard to piracy case in Red Sea, that demands for destruction of pirate boats and for compensation have been settled satisfactorily.

On 24th instant, he presented a claim on account of cargo for a British-born subject now resident at Aden.

It is probable that this man is in partnership with a relative who declared himself owner of the cargo at Hodeidah.

Consul has been instructed to settle this new claim on any reasonable terms.

No. 38.

The Marquess of Lansdowne to Sir N. O'Connor.

(No. 336.)

Sir,

Foreign Office, September 29, 1905.

THE Turkish Ambassador informed me to-day that he had been instructed to remonstrate with His Majesty's Government in regard to the behaviour of His Majesty's ship "Fox," which had recently visited Hodeida for the purpose of obtaining compensation for acts of piracy committed by the tribes inhabiting that neighbourhood. His Excellency said that the Turkish Government had already undertaken that the claim for compensation on account of these acts should be fully met, and that suitable punishment should be inflicted upon the tribesmen. In these circumstances the behaviour of the "Fox," which had prevented the landing of Turkish troops at Hodeida, and even fired blank shots at the Turkish transports, seemed altogether unjustifiable.

I told his Excellency that I had received to-day a telegram from you informing me that His Majesty's Consul at Hodeida had reported that the demands for compensation and destruction of the pirate boats had been satisfactorily settled. I hoped, therefore, that the incident was now at an end. His Excellency inquired whether the "Fox" would now be withdrawn, and I replied that I felt sure she would not be detained at Hodeida any longer than was absolutely necessary for the fulfilment of her mission.

I am, &c.  
(Signed) LANSDOWNE.

o

No. 89.

India Office to Foreign Office.—(Received September 29.)

Sir,

India Office, September 27, 1905.

WITH reference to the 4th paragraph of Sir A. Godley's letter of the 4th February last, regarding a reported violation by the Turks of Amiri territory, I am directed by Mr. Secretary Brodrick to inclose, for the information of the Marquess of Lansdowne, a copy of a letter from the Government of India, in which, amongst other matters, they recommend the permanent appointment of a Political Officer at Dthala.

This recommendation Mr. Brodrick is prepared to sanction, and, should Lord Lansdowne concur, he would suggest that the Turkish Government should be invited to agree to an arrangement whereby communications respecting border disputes between the authorities on the British and Turkish sides of the recently demarcated frontier of the Aden hinterland should be made through the channel of the Political Officer at Dthala.

Dthala is not only close to that part of the frontier where disputes are most likely to arise, but would appear to be conveniently situated for communications with the Turkish authorities at Taiz as well as at Kataba. And, as the whole length of the demarcated boundary is considerably under 200 miles, the Political Officer should be able to visit any part of it from Dthala without serious inconvenience.

I have, &c.  
(Signed) HORACE WALPOLE.

Inclosure in No. 89.

Government of India to Mr. Brodrick.

(Secret.)

Sir,

Simla, August 31, 1905.

WE have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Secret despatch of the 14th April, requesting an expression of our views on the proposals put forward by Major Merewether, then Political Officer at Dthala, for the grant, under certain conditions, of stipends to the Sheikh of the Kotaibis and to the Radfan tribes.

2. In reply, we have the honour to invite your attention to the inclosed copies of the marginally-noted correspondence,\* and especially to a Memorandum drawn up by Captain Jacob, Major Merewether's successor, in which the subject at issue is discussed at length. You will perceive that Captain Jacob, though agreeing with his predecessor as to the necessity of providing a pecuniary inducement to good behaviour in the case of the minor Chiefs nominally dependent on the Amir of Dthala, and of not confining our subsidies to that Chief, differs from him in thinking that this is desirable only if they can produce a recommendation from the Amir, through whom the money would be paid, the object of this course being to uphold the Amir's authority in the country.

3. The Government of Bombay concur in this view, and have expressed the following opinion on other closely connected points:—

(1.) In view of Captain Jacob's confident hope that he can succeed in dealing through the Amir, they consider that it is premature at the present stage to contemplate the grant of fixed stipends to particular sub-tribes. On the other hand, they recommend that the Political Officer be given a liberal discretionary power for making occasional or periodical presents. Such periodical presents, as we understand, would differ from the temporary stipends recommended by Major Merewether only in the fact that at the present tentative stage there would be no Treaty engagement to pay them.

(2.) They advocate the stationing of a medical officer at Dthala and the adoption of a liberal policy in regard to the grant of medical assistance. We may here mention that a proposal is under our consideration for the establishment of a hospital and dispensary at Dthala.

(3.) They are in favour of no binding engagement being made either with the Kotaibi or other Radfan tribes until it is clear that the Amir is unable, with the support of the Political Officer, to consolidate his position as paramount Ruler.

\* Government of Bombay, May 16; ditto, June 20, 1905.



4. We have the honour to express our concurrence in these views, which are, moreover, in accordance with the general lines of policy laid down in our Secret despatch of the 9th February last. It will be observed, however, that the recommendations of the Government of Bombay are based on the supposition that the posting of a Political Officer at Dthala, decided on last year as a temporary experiment, shall be given a permanent character, though originally they were opposed to the course which was adopted.

On the 23rd September last you were good enough to request an expression of our views, at the expiration of a year, as to the necessity of maintaining this officer and his escort at Dthala. In our Secret despatch of the 9th February, 1903, we expressed a hope that the personal influence of the Political Officer at Dthala might prove useful as a means of conciliating the tribes. We are still of this opinion, and we agree with the Government of Bombay in thinking that there is reason to expect that, if time be given to Captain Jacob to consolidate his position at Dthala, his influence may become a factor of great importance in keeping the tribes quiet and the trade routes open. It will, moreover, in our opinion, always be necessary to have an officer on the spot to watch the never-ending conflict between the Turks and Arabs on the other side of the frontier, and prevent possible violations of territory by either combatant and intrigues between them and the Amir.

We must therefore express our decided conviction that the experience of the last ten months has shown the presence of a permanent Political Officer at Dthala to be indispensable, not only on political grounds as the pivot of our new system of dealing with the hinterland tribes, but also as likely to prove the most economical policy in the end.

We have, &c.

(Signed)

CURZON.

R. H. ELLES.

A. T. ARUNDEL.

H. ERLE RICHARDS.

J. P. HEWETT.

E. N. BAKER.

C. L. TUPPER.